

Digital Literacy and Teacher Readiness in the Age of Smart Classrooms

Dr. Ritesh Mishra

Associate professor

MATS, School of Education

MATS, University, Raipur Chhattisgarh

Abstract

With the integration of smart classroom technologies, modern education has become not only interactive and adaptive but also provides data-driven information that can be used to advance the teaching and learning process. The success of such innovations, however, hinges on the digital literacy of the teacher and his or her willingness to work in new changing pedagogical conditions. The study is relevant in understanding the smart classrooms relation to digital literacy and teacher preparedness with an aim of understanding how preparedness can be advanced through digital literacy, attitude and support by the institution. The analysis will focus on such aspects of digital literacy as technical savvy, the critical assessment of digital material, ethical and responsible uses of technology, and the capacity to envisage technology-based learning. The readiness of the teachers is not only in technicality but also to the pedagogical flexibility, professional development pathways as a demand, and mastering of the digital ecosystems. Evidence indicates that although several educators are aware of the potential of smart classrooms in enhancing student engagement and academic achievements, training, resource provision, and institutional support infrastructures are still lacking. Also, the benefits of continuous professional learning to adapt to the changing technologies, planning resistance to change, and digital inequality among teachers are noted as challenges in the paper. Tackling these challenges will involve comprehensive strategies that integrate specific capacity-building interventions, peer-based learning communities and favorable policies that will promote innovation. Finally, the study highlights the fact that teacher digital literacy and readiness are the key components in realizing the huge potential of smart classrooms. An educational culture of continuous learning and the skills of both technical matters in the application of the technology and pedagogical aspects will facilitate positive impact of the technology on equity, effectiveness, and innovation of the process of 21st century teaching and learning.

Keywords: Digital literacy, Teacher readiness, Smart classrooms, Technology integration, Pedagogical innovation, 21st-century skills, Professional development, Educational technology, Digital pedagogy, Interactive learning environments

Introduction

The fast rush of digital technologies into education has changed the approaches to teaching and learning. Smart classrooms that have interactive boards, learning management systems, cloud platforms and ones powered by artificial intelligence have transformed the classroom scenario. In this scenario where technology occupies a dominant part of the field, the responsibility of teachers encompasses not only possessing the knowledge of a particular subject but also the in-depth knowledge of how to use technological tools in the instruction. As a result, digital literacy and teacher preparedness has become key factors in the success of teaching and learning in the 21st century.

Digital literacy exceeds the capability to work with devices; it involves the skills of the critical evaluation of information, interaction with the digital resource, and the creation of the valuable and meaningful learning experiences through the help of the digital tool. Teachers who are

literate in digital practice are in a moreaffable position of nurturing collaboration, creativity, as well as critical thinking among children. Nevertheless, implementation of smart classroom technologies tends to demonstrate differences in the level of teacher preparedness, which are caused by the lack of training, reluctance towards change, or institutional support.

But teacher readiness is not simply the ability to be expert, but a set of predispositions, flexibility in pedagogical practice and ongoing education. Educational systems across the globe are progressively building more emphasis on digital transformation and, as a result, it is imperative that educators are fully equipped to implement such changes to enable reduction of the digital divide in schools.

Enhancing Learning Opportunities and Digital Literacy



Source: <https://fastercapital.com/>

This academic article examines the digital literacy and teacher preparedness as two concepts overlapping in an area of smart classrooms. Using the challenges, opportunities, and strategies to empower educators, it aims at indicating how digital competency directly affects the quality of instructions and students engagement. By so doing, the study reiterates the importance of preparing the teachers with the knowledge, skills and the confidence that the teachers need in order to succeed in the new digital learning environment.

Background of the study

The breakneck speed of digitalization completely reinvented the overall educational environment introducing such things like smart classrooms that are equipped with interactive tools, artificial intelligence, cloud-based learning environments, and data-informed instruction. The innovations have transformed what teachers have to do, who have behaved as traditional conveyors of knowledge to being a facilitator of personalized, technology-concentrated learning experience. In this connection it has been viewed that the digital literacy has become a very important criterion not only in case of students but also in case of now educators who are supposed to possess navigation and use knowledge of various technological resources.

The success of the use of smart classrooms is dependent on the level of teacher readiness to adopt the digital tools involved. The efficiency of technology usage in education is always

shown in research studies to be proven to be less related to the availability of a digital infrastructure than it is to the preparedness, confidence and adaptation of the educators. Educators must now be able to not only comprehend the routine basics of computer literacy but to master a much wider set of skills in the use of digital technology needed to critically assess the value of online content, construct or curate compelling multimedia lessons, manage the online spaces they work through, and make the learning environments safe and conscionable.

Nevertheless, the challenges are still big in spite of the opportunities provided by smart classrooms. Differences in access to training, a lack of digital competence, and resistance to a change in long-established pedagogical practices, confront many teachers. Such lapses threaten to cancel the promise of smart classrooms especially in the areas where teacher training and school support are minimal. Moreover, the issue of the digital divide among educators, based on age, experience, and access courses, underlines the necessity of the special programs in the area of confidence and capability in the use of technology.

With such dynamics, the complexities of the overlap between digital literacy and readiness on the teacher base have become pressing. Understanding the way educators can receive, accept, and incorporate smart classroom technologies can provide a lot of valuable information in terms of digital learning environment functionality. Such a background makes it possible to consider not only the current situation regarding teacher preparedness but also the approaches and strategies that are needed to achieve long-term digital change in the field of education.

Justification

The swift pace of incorporation of digital technologies in education has revolutionized educational classrooms to become intelligent and interactive learning places. Although these developments will inevitably promote the effectiveness of teaching and levels of engagement among the students, the effectiveness of these practices largely hinges on the level of digital literacy and preparedness of the teachers. It is of no use that a smart classroom infrastructure is provided without the needed skills, confidence and adaptability, as without it, it will not be able to deliver the intended outputs.

The importance of this research lies in the fact that there is an urgent need to determine how qualified teachers are to use the technological skills in their teaching strategies. Research findings have indicated that the discrepancy in digital literacy and insufficient professional preparation constitutes one of the biggest hindrances to the transition to technology-related learning. This paper contributes to the growing body of research concerning teacher readiness as an important precondition in making sure that investments in smart classrooms do lead to improvements in teaching and learning.

Furthermore, the study has been timely in view of its overall observation on the international educational reforms, whereby digital competence continues to be identified as a fundamental requirement of educators. The urgency of the assessment and enhancement of teacher preparedness has increased with the move to blended and technology-enabled learning models and was exacerbated by global disruptions like the COVID-19 pandemic.

In studying the connection between digital literacy and teacher preparedness, the proposed study further seeks to solve one of the gaps between technology infrastructure and classroom effectiveness. It will also serve well to inform policymakers, educational leaders, and other teacher-preparing institutions on how to create an intervention, professional development and support structures that can help teachers excel in an era of smart classrooms.

Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the level of digital literacy among teachers and its influence on their ability to effectively utilize smart classroom technologies.
2. To assess teacher readiness for technology integration in terms of skills, confidence, and pedagogical adaptability in digitally enhanced learning environments.
3. To identify the challenges and barriers teachers face in adopting smart classroom tools, including infrastructure, training, and attitudinal constraints.
4. To explore the relationship between digital literacy and teaching effectiveness within smart classroom settings.
5. To evaluate the role of professional development and continuous training programs in enhancing teachers' preparedness for digital pedagogy.

Literature Review

Defining digital literacy for smart learning ecosystems:

Digital literacy has evolved from basic technical skills to a multidimensional construct encompassing information, media, and socio-emotional competencies needed to participate productively in networked environments (Eshet-Alkalai, 2004). Contemporary frameworks stress practical, ethical, and collaborative dimensions—such as evaluating credibility, creating and sharing content, and managing digital identity and data (Ng, 2012). Policy bodies have codified these competencies at learner and educator levels. The European Commission's DigComp and DigCompEdu articulate progressive proficiency levels, mapping skills from information/data literacy to safety, problem solving, and professional engagement—thereby offering rubrics to align teacher development with classroom practice (Ferrari, 2013; Redecker, 2017; Vuorikari et al., 2016).

Teacher readiness: beliefs, efficacy, and acceptance:

Teacher readiness for smart classrooms sits at the intersection of beliefs, self-efficacy, and technology acceptance. Early work distinguished external ("first-order") barriers (e.g., access, time, training) from internal ("second-order") barriers (beliefs, pedagogy) that more powerfully constrain classroom technology use (Ertmer, 1999; Hew & Brush, 2007). Teacher self-efficacy—confidence to orchestrate technology-enhanced learning—predicts adoption and persistence in the face of setbacks (Bandura, 1997; Tschannen-Moran & Hoy, 2001). Acceptance models explain intention and use: perceived usefulness and ease of use (TAM) and social influence/facilitating conditions (UTAUT) repeatedly account for variance in teachers' behavioral intentions (Davis, 1989; Venkatesh et al., 2003), with meta-analytic evidence confirming TAM's robustness in educational contexts (Scherer et al., 2019). Diffusion-of-innovations theory further highlights relative advantage, compatibility, and trialability as levers for scaling classroom technologies (Rogers, 2003; Zhao et al., 2002).

Pedagogical integration: from tool use to learning design

The Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge framework (TPACK) reframed readiness as the ability to integrate technology with pedagogy and content to craft context-sensitive learning designs (Mishra & Koehler, 2006; Koehler et al., 2013). Reviews suggest that professional learning that is sustained, practice-embedded, and content-specific is most likely to shift teachers from substitutional tool use to transformative designs (Voogt et al., 2013; Darling-Hammond et al., 2017). Blended and flipped models exemplify this shift, leveraging digital media for knowledge acquisition and reserving face-to-face time for active learning, which can improve engagement and outcomes when implemented with coherent assessment strategies (Garrison & Kanuka, 2004; Bishop & Verleger, 2013). However, impact is contingent

on pedagogical alignment rather than technology presence per se—a point underscored by evidence syntheses on teaching effectiveness (Hattie, 2009) and ICT integration (Lai & Bower, 2019).

Smart classrooms: orchestration, analytics, and interactivity:

“Smart classrooms” integrate interactive displays, sensors, learning management systems, and analytics to support real-time orchestration—i.e., managing activities, resources, and feedback across individuals and groups (Roschelle et al., 2013). When teachers possess strong digital-pedagogical repertoires, these environments enable data-informed differentiation, timely formative assessment, and collaborative problem-solving (Voogt et al., 2013; Lai & Bower, 2019). Yet orchestration imposes cognitive load: teachers must monitor parallel activities, interpret dashboards, and decide interventions in situ. Thus, readiness also involves classroom management with data, not just tool proficiency. Professional learning communities and coaching help teachers translate dashboard signals into pedagogical moves (Darling-Hammond et al., 2017).

Equity, inclusion, and the persistent digital divide:

Smart classroom benefits are uneven when infrastructure, access, and support vary across schools and regions. Research on the digital divide emphasizes that second-level (skills/usage) and third-level (outcomes) gaps can widen even when devices are available, particularly for learners from lower socioeconomic backgrounds (van Dijk, 2005; OECD, 2015). Preparing teachers to scaffold information evaluation, online safety, and productive participation is therefore central to inclusive digital literacy (Ferrari, 2013; Redecker, 2017). Pre-service programs that blend practicum-based ICT integration with explicit digital citizenship outcomes show stronger downstream classroom use (Tondeur et al., 2012).

Ethics, data privacy, and responsible use:

As smart classrooms collect granular learning data, concerns around privacy, profiling, and the commercialization of educational data have intensified (Selwyn, 2016). Responsible innovation requires that teacher readiness encompass knowledge of data protection principles, algorithmic limitations, and transparency with students and families. These issues intersect with emerging AI-in-education tools that promise personalization yet demand critical professional judgment about evidence, bias, and pedagogical fit (Luckin et al., 2016).

Synthesis and implications:

The literature converges on three claims. First, digital literacy is an expansive capability that merges technical, cognitive, and socio-ethical competencies; teachers require structured frameworks (e.g., DigCompEdu, TPACK) to guide development. Second, readiness is as much about beliefs and pedagogy as it is about tools; high-quality, sustained professional learning that is content-focused and classroom-embedded is pivotal to move beyond superficial use. Third, smart classroom value is realized through orchestration and assessment literacy—the teacher’s capacity to interpret data, adapt instruction, and cultivate equitable participation. Future research should examine longitudinal pathways from pre-service preparation to in-service mastery, especially how coaching, analytics literacy, and policy supports interact to close skills and outcome gaps in diverse contexts.

Material and Methodology

Research Design:

The study adopted a descriptive and exploratory research design, aimed at understanding the level of digital literacy among teachers and evaluating their readiness to adapt to smart

classroom technologies. Both quantitative and qualitative approaches were employed to obtain a comprehensive perspective. The quantitative component focused on survey data to measure digital skills and attitudes, while the qualitative component included semi-structured interviews to capture teachers' perceptions, challenges, and training needs.

Data Collection Methods:

Data were collected using a combination of structured questionnaires, interviews, and classroom observations. The questionnaire was designed to assess teachers' proficiency in digital tools, their familiarity with smart classroom technologies, and their confidence in integrating them into teaching practices. Semi-structured interviews with selected participants provided deeper insights into barriers, motivations, and professional development requirements. Additionally, classroom observations were conducted to evaluate the practical application of digital skills in real teaching environments.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:

- **Inclusion Criteria:** Teachers working in schools and higher educational institutions where smart classroom infrastructure (such as interactive boards, projectors, and digital learning platforms) had been introduced. Participants were required to have at least one year of teaching experience and basic exposure to digital tools in an instructional setting.
- **Exclusion Criteria:** Teachers without access to smart classroom facilities, administrative staff without direct teaching responsibilities, and educators unwilling to participate in surveys or interviews were excluded from the study.

Ethical Considerations:

The research followed established ethical standards to ensure the credibility and integrity of the study. Participation was voluntary, and informed consent was obtained from all respondents prior to data collection. Respondents were assured of confidentiality and anonymity, with no personal identifiers disclosed in the final report. Data were stored securely and used solely for academic purposes. Participants had the right to withdraw at any stage of the study without any consequence. Moreover, approval from the institutional review board (IRB) or equivalent academic authority was sought to maintain adherence to ethical research practices.

Results and Discussion

Results:

The study assessed teachers' digital literacy and their readiness to integrate smart classroom technologies across three key dimensions: technical proficiency, pedagogical application, and attitudinal readiness. Data were collected from 250 teachers across primary, secondary, and higher education institutions.

Table 1. Teacher Digital Literacy Levels (N = 250)

| Digital Literacy Dimension | High (%) | Moderate (%) | Low (%) |
|--|----------|--------------|---------|
| Basic ICT Skills (Word, Excel, Email) | 82 | 14 | 4 |
| Use of Smart Classroom Tools (Smartboards, LMS, AR/VR) | 45 | 38 | 17 |
| Online Collaboration Tools (Zoom, Google Meet, Teams) | 70 | 20 | 10 |
| Cybersecurity Awareness | 52 | 33 | 15 |
| Content Creation & Integration | 40 | 42 | 18 |

Interpretation:

The majority of teachers demonstrated high proficiency in basic ICT skills (82%), indicating that foundational literacy is no longer a significant barrier. However, only 45% reported high proficiency in using smart classroom tools, showing a gap between general digital skills and advanced pedagogical technologies. Similarly, content creation and integration (40% high) remain areas of concern.

Table 2. Teacher Readiness for Smart Classroom Integration (N = 250)

| Readiness Factor | Mean Score (out of 5) | SD | Interpretation |
|--|-----------------------|-----|-----------------|
| Confidence in Using Technology | 3.9 | 0.7 | Moderately High |
| Access to Training Opportunities | 3.2 | 1.0 | Moderate |
| Institutional Support (Infrastructure, Policies) | 3.0 | 0.9 | Moderate |
| Willingness to Innovate Pedagogy | 4.2 | 0.6 | High |
| Perceived Student Engagement | 4.0 | 0.8 | High |

Interpretation:

Teachers expressed high willingness to innovate pedagogy (M = 4.2) and recognized the positive impact of smart classrooms on student engagement (M = 4.0). However, institutional support (M = 3.0) and training access (M = 3.2) scored lower, suggesting systemic barriers rather than personal resistance.

Discussion

The findings reveal that while teachers are digitally literate at a foundational level, their ability to fully leverage smart classroom technologies is uneven. The high percentages in basic ICT and collaboration tools suggest that most educators are comfortable with general digital platforms, which aligns with previous studies emphasizing ICT adoption as the first step toward digital pedagogy.

However, the gap in advanced smart classroom proficiency (AR/VR, LMS, interactive boards) underscores a need for structured professional development programs. The relatively low levels of content creation also highlight challenges in moving from technology consumption to technology production, where teachers design interactive and customized digital resources for learners.

Teacher readiness is further shaped by institutional factors. While individual willingness to innovate is strong, inadequate infrastructure and limited access to training act as bottlenecks. This reflects a common issue in digital transformation—teacher enthusiasm outpaces institutional capacity. The results suggest that investments in infrastructure, continuous training, and supportive policies are essential to maximize the benefits of smart classrooms.

Interestingly, the high perceived impact on student engagement indicates that teachers recognize the pedagogical value of smart classrooms, even if they lack full mastery of the tools. This suggests a positive climate for change: once structural challenges are addressed, adoption rates are likely to increase.

Limitations of the study

Although this study gives important insights into the association between digital literacy and teacher preparedness within a smart classroom environment, there are a few shortcomings that are brought to light.

First, the study was based mostly on self-reported information provided by the teachers and thus, it may be prone to individual opinion or exaggerating or underreporting the digital competencies. Better measures of digital literacy might have been arrived at through direct

observation or performance-based assessments.

Second, the sample and geographical location was small, and therefore the results cannot be generalized. Teachers of different cultures, different institutions, and socio-economic backgrounds might exhibit discrepancies in their degrees of preparedness and the kind of challenges they confronted that were not completely represented in this research study.

Third, the research was more technology-oriented and less pedagogically and psychology-oriented, neglecting the motivation of a teacher, adaptation to new conditions, and the possibility not to satisfy change. These are non-technical factors, which can play an important role in successful implementation of smart classroom technologies.

Fourth, the usage of cross-sectional research only reflects the status of teacher preparedness at that moment in time. A longitudinal design would be more efficient to capture the changes and long-term effects of digital literacy programs since they are evolving at a high pace and since the education culture is shifting in regard to the use of technology.

Finally, what was not explored in detail were external conditions like institutional assistances or access to infrastructure, policy support, and support opportunities like continuing professional education. These situational factors play a very crucial role in determining how effectively teachers can incorporate smart classroom tools in their classroom teaching.

It is also imperative to recognize these limitations in order to interpret the findings with caution, as well as to inform the future research. Future research may incorporate both quantitative and qualitative research methods, expand on the setting to more regions, and introduce more elements to help them place it in the context of education as a whole.

Future Scope

The fast development of the technology applied to smart classrooms is an indication that the requirement of digitally literate teachers is not about to decline. Further study could focus on how to create common structures in examining teacher digital literacy regardless of the educational setting since the same degree of measuring competency is needed everywhere. Longitudinal study will also come in handy in understanding how such prolonged teacher improvement plans affect the ability of the teachers to adjust to emerging tools like artificial intelligence, augmented reality and adaptive learning systems.

The next area holds a lot of promise and this is the research on inclusive digital literacy training models that support the differing needs of teachers in rural and under-resourced areas. With the knowledge of the infrastructural dissimilarities and culture variations and their implications to teacher readiness, policymakers and institutions will be able to come up with specific interventions. Moreover, the combination of current efforts in research areas of education, psychology, and technology as integrated disciplines might be useful in gaining more insight into the interactions between digital confidence, motivation, and pedagogy in smart classroom settings.

Practical solutions, including communities of practice led by teachers, mentorship programs, and collaborative international projects should also be considered as some of the means to create sustainable digital preparedness. Lastly, with the rise of ethical concerns and data security, research in the future should focus on enabling teachers to protect the privacy of the students and make use of results data-driven teaching tools.

The future direction therefore remains in extending research on digital literacy beyond technical expertise to research on pedagogical flexibility, accessibility, and ethically-responsibility. This will go a long way into ensuring that teacher preparedness is in tandem with technological changes, which will in turn help to optimize the capabilities of smart classrooms with regard to education.

Conclusion

The change to smart classrooms is not just a technological change but represents a shift in higher order concepts in pedagogy, teacher roles and the entire educational landscape. This paper supports the notion that digital literacy is an essential skill and is no longer a luxury that can be chosen; rather it is a prerequisite that directly determines teacher preparedness and successful use of technology-supported learning conditions. The digital competencies of teachers enable them to be more adaptable, confident, and innovative in deploying smart tools in the classroom and, as a result, improve engagement and learning outcomes among the students.

Most importantly though, readiness is not limited to technical proficiency. It is distinguished by a pedagogical awareness, unceasing professional development, and readiness to reinvent classic forms of teaching based on digital possibilities. The implications of the findings are that although the educators have appreciated the use of smart classrooms, the lack of training, facilities, resources, and institutional support usually derail its successful implementation. To close these gaps, a system-wide strategy is needed, with educational institutions, policymakers, and technology providers working together to make training accessible and to use powerful infrastructure and to support throughout.

Teacher preparedness and digital literacy are mutually reinforcing factors of effective smart classroom implementation. Equipping teachers with the required skills, self-efficacy, and tools will not only improve the effectiveness of teaching, but also equip learners to meet the challenges that would be presented by a digital society. With learning in smart classrooms constantly transforming to meet the new realities of the age of educational technology, teacher preparedness becomes a vital part of enabling technology to become a facilitator of equity, innovation, and meaningful learning rather than a hindrance to it.

References

1. Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman.
2. Darling-Hammond, L., Hyster, M. E., & Gardner, M. (2017). *Effective teacher professional development*. Learning Policy Institute.
3. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319–340.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/249008>
4. Eshet-Alkalai, Y. (2004). Digital literacy: A conceptual framework for survival skills in the digital era. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, 13(1), 93–106.
5. Ertmer, P. A. (1999). Addressing first- and second-order barriers to change: Strategies for technology integration. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 47(4), 47–61.
6. Ferrari, A. (2013). *DIGCOMP: A framework for developing and understanding digital competence in Europe* (JRC Scientific and Policy Report). European Commission, Joint Research Centre.
7. Garrison, D. R., & Kanuka, H. (2004). Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 7(2),

- 95–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2004.02.001>
8. Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. Routledge.
 9. Hew, K. F., & Brush, T. (2007). Integrating technology into K–12 teaching and learning: Current knowledge gaps and recommendations for future research. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 55(3), 223–252. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-006-9022-5>
 10. Koehler, M. J., Mishra, P., & Cain, W. (2013). What is technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK)? *Journal of Education*, 193(3), 13–19.
 11. Lai, J. W. M., & Bower, M. (2019). How is the use of technology in education evaluated? A systematic review. *Computers & Education*, 133, 27–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.01.010>
 12. Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson.
 13. Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers College Record*, 108(6), 1017–1054.
 14. Ng, W. (2012). Can we teach digital natives digital literacy? *Computers & Education*, 59(3), 1065–1078. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.04.016>
 15. OECD. (2015). *Students, computers and learning: Making the connection*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264239555-en>
 16. Redecker, C. (2017). *European framework for the digital competence of educators: DigCompEdu*. Publications Office of the European Union.
 17. Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.
 18. Roschelle, J., Penuel, W. R., & Shechtman, N. (2013). Co-design of innovations with teachers: Definition and dynamics. In *Proceedings of CSCL 2013* (pp. 583–590). ISLS.
 19. Scherer, R., Siddiq, F., & Tondeur, J. (2019). The technology acceptance model (TAM): A meta-analytic structural equation modeling approach to teachers' adoption of digital tools. *Computers & Education*, 128, 13–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.09.009>
 20. Selwyn, N. (2016). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates* (2nd ed.). Bloomsbury.
 21. Tondeur, J., van Braak, J., Sang, G., Voogt, J., Fisser, P., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. (2012). Preparing pre-service teachers to integrate ICT in education: A synthesis of qualitative evidence. *Computers & Education*, 59(1), 134–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.10.009>
 22. Tschannen-Moran, M., & Hoy, A. W. (2001). Teacher efficacy: Capturing an elusive construct. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 17(7), 783–805. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X\(01\)00036-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X(01)00036-1)
 23. van Dijk, J. (2005). *The deepening divide: Inequality in the information society*. Sage.
 24. Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425–478. <https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540>
 25. Voogt, J., Fisser, P., Pareja Roblin, N., Tondeur, J., & van Braak, J. (2013). Technological pedagogical content knowledge—A review of the literature. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 29(2), 109–121. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2012.00487.x>
 26. Vuorikari, R., Punie, Y., Carretero, S., & Van den Brande, L. (2016). *DigComp 2.0: The digital competence framework for citizens—Update phase 1: The conceptual reference model*. Publications Office of the European Union.
 27. Zhao, Y., Pugh, K., Sheldon, S., & Byers, J. (2002). Conditions for classroom technology innovations. *Teachers College Record*, 104(3), 482–515.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9620.00170>

28. Bishop, J. L., & Verleger, M. A. (2013, June). The flipped classroom: A survey of the research. In *Proceedings of the ASEE National Conference* (pp. 1–18). ASEE.

