International Journal of Integrated Research and Practice (IJIRP)

Vol 2, Issue 2, February 2026, Page: 66-76 ISSN: 3107-5037

Artificial Intelligence in Wildlife Tracking and Conservation

Dr. Salman Arafath Mohammed
Electrical Engineering Department, Computer Engineering Section, College of Engineering,
King Khalid University, Abha, KSA.

Abstract

The technology of artificial intelligence (AI) has transformed the wildlife tracking and conservation process altogether since now, the tracking can be more precise and the analysis can be predictive and data-driven. As the biodiversity declines due to habitat loss, climate change, poaching and human-wildlife conflict, conservation decisions need to be supported with scalable and specific technological assistance. The present paper explains how AI-based applications, including machine learning algorithms, computer vision, bioacoustic monitoring, and satellite-based analytics, are enhancing wildlife research and protection processes. Application to AI, such as camera trap surveillance, drone-assisted surveillance, and interpretation of GPS collar data, can significantly decrease the number of individuals that ought to be employed to undertake this task and also increase the detection rates and behavioral cues. Predictive modeling and how it has been used in predicting the migration pattern and the high-risk poaching areas and assessing the suitability of the habitat in the different environmental conditions is also addressed in the paper. The AI systems can enable real-time monitoring and response plans through the union of the big data of the remote sensing, environmental sensors, and ecological records. The paper will also discuss the ethical, technical and logistical challenges regarding the application of AI in conservation, including information bias, technological availability, the inability to access infrastructures in remote locations and misuse of surveillance risks. This paper makes an argument on the foundation of an overview of new case studies and interdisciplinary findings that AI does not displace ecological knowledge but enhances conservation success when coupled with field knowledge and community engagement. The findings suggest the opportunities of AI to facilitate the procedure of resources allocation, enhance the species protection, and aid in evidence-based policymaking. Finally, one of the potential avenues of how more adaptive, efficient and sustainable management of biodiversity can be realized is the application of artificial intelligence in wildlife conservation equipment in the environment of a rapidly changing environmental change.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), Wildlife Tracking, Biodiversity Conservation, Machine Learning, Computer Vision, Bioacoustic Monitoring, Remote Sensing, Predictive Modeling, Habitat Analysis, Poaching Prevention, Drone Surveillance, GPS Telemetry, Environmental Monitoring, Conservation Technology, Sustainable Ecosystems

Introduction

Wildlife conservation will have entered a period of transformation, as artificial intelligence (AI) will alter how researchers investigate, comprehend and protect biodiversity. The third methods of wildlife monitoring which have existed since the dawn of time such as direct observation, radio telemetry and manual analysis of the camera traps have led to the generation of valuable ecological information over the decades. These techniques have been however found to be labor intensive, costly, geographically limited as well as slow in yielding actionable information. As ecosystems continue to experience mounting pressures within them owing to climatic change, habitat fragmentation, poaching and the human-wildlife conflict, conservationists must have faster, more precise and scalable instruments that will be responsive. These issues are addressed with the help of artificial intelligence. AI systems can be used to process significant quantities of ecological information, in real time, using a combination of machine learning algorithms and

Vol 2, Issue 2, February 2026, Page: 66-76 ISSN: 3107-5037

satellite imaging, GPS collars, bioacoustic sensors, drones, and camera traps. Automatic cycle Image recognition algorithms can be used to name species in millions of photographs, and predictive analytics can be used to predict migration patterns, mating patterns and population dynamics. The sound signatures also allow the acoustic AI tools to identify the endangered species in large and remote locations. These capabilities significantly enhance the speed, accuracy, and area of surveillance of wildlife. Besides monitoring, AI-based insights can be used to protect proactively. Predictive models are useful in finding hotspots in poaching, better routes of rangers patrol and evaluating suitability of habitats in changing climatic conditions. Evidence-based decision-making enhances the ability of resources to be allocated, as well as enhancing policy interventions on local, national, and global levels. Simultaneously, there are other critical issues concerning the use of AI in conservation, namely the privacy of the generated data, the accessibility of the technology, and the bias in the algorithms and their justifiable cooperation with the local population.

The paper will discuss the use of artificial intelligence in wildlife tracking and conservation, its technological basis, use, advantages, drawbacks, and future possibilities in the protection of biodiversity globally.

Background of the study

The conservation of wildlife has emerged as a major concern the world cannot afford to ignore anymore due to the increasing threat to the ecosystems through habitat degradation, poaching, climatic changes, and human-wildlife conflict. Conservation and traditional monitoring techniques, including manual field survey, camera traps and satellite tagging, have yielded invaluable information but are frequently restricted by their high costs, labour-intensive nature, limited temporal resolution and the length of time it takes to process data. Real-time monitoring is difficult in remote or highly vegetated areas, which further complicates the situation of timely decision-making, which is so important in protecting endangered species and conserving biodiversity.

Source: https://www.quantzig.com/ai/ais-transformative-role-in-advancing-wildlife-conservation/

Over the last few years, new artificial intelligence (AI) has brought transformative tools that can enhance and fully automate complicated elements of wildlife tracking and conservation. Machine learning, computer vision, and predictive modelling are AI technologies that provide new opportunities to analyse a large amount of ecological data effectively and correctly. As a case in point, deep learning algorithms can process and recognize species and behavioural patterns by analysing thousands of camera trap images and AI-enhanced acoustic sensors can recognize and classify animal vocalizations in real time. Artificial intelligence-based predictive models have the potential to predict migration pathways, habitat preferences, and population patterns and can help conservationists to predict risks and plan resource better.

Vol 2, Issue 2, February 2026, Page: 66-76 ISSN: 3107-5037

Although AI is increasingly used in environmental science, its inclusion in the wildlife conservation practice remains new and needs to be systematically reviewed. Little is known about the performance of AI systems in various ecological setting, their effect on conservation outcomes, and the ethical or operational issues raised by them such as the quality of data, the bias of algorithm, access to technology, and their effects on the local population. In addition, there are doubts regarding the scalability of AI-based solutions in the developing world, where technological infrastructure is not always the richest, but biodiversity is.

The proposed research is expected to add to the current discussion by evaluating the use of AI in wildlife monitoring and protection, determining both the potential and the drawbacks of innovations based on AI. By assessing the current applications of AI, identifying the key success factors, and being aware of the potential drawbacks, this research will illuminate conservation professionals, policymakers, and technologists on the possibility of a responsible and successful use of AI in the wildlife-saving efforts. The overall goal is to help in measures that expand the scope of data-driven choices, optimal safeguarding of species, and establish sustainable coexistence of individuals and wildlife in the rapidly changing world.

Justification

The biodiversity loss, habitat fragmentation, climate change and wildlife trade have been unprecedented threats to conservation activities in the world. The traditional methods of wildlife monitoring are manual tracking, camera traps review, radio telemetry and field surveys, which are typically labor intensive, time consuming, expensive and scaled down. These limitations constrain the ability to make decisions in time and make conservation measures more effective. With the increasing ecological threats, innovative data-driven, scalable, and effective methods are urgently required to monitor and preserve the populations of wildlife. In this field, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has transformational potential. Machine learning algorithms have the capacity to handle large amounts of ecological data which is gathered via satellite sensors, drones, acoustic sensors, GPS collars, and camera traps. Automated image and sound recognition systems save a lot of time with respect to species identification, migration patterns, the level of poaching, and change in habitat. Predictive models based on AI can predict species movement and evaluate extinction risk as well as the effects of the environmental change more accurately than more traditional tools of analysis. Although there is an increase in the experimentation of AI in conservation, there is nevertheless an uneven distribution of these technologies across landscapes and species. A lot of developing countries with the richest biodiversity are limited in funding, technical skills as well as infrastructure. Moreover, there are ethical issues, such as the data privacy, community engagement, and algorithm bias, and reliance on technologies, which were not properly discussed in conservation settings. It is also necessary to investigate the idea of whether AI tools can truly improve long-term conservation outcomes or simply increase the effectiveness of data collection. The project is warranted as it aims to evaluate critically the effectiveness, limitations and the general implications of the AI applications within the realms of wildlife tracking and conservation. The research bridge the gap between the innovation and the implementation by incorporating the marriage between technology and the ecological practice. It will also consider the way of applying AI to help in making evidence-based policies, the optimal allocation of resources, and to improve anti-poaching strategies and at the same time, ecological sustainability and community engagement. Moreover, the study is useful to the interdisciplinary literature since it encompasses the views of environmental science, computer science and conservation policy. As the number of conservation movements across the world goes online, there is a need to find the practicability, moral, and environmental consequences of AI utilization. The research will provide a scientific theory of evaluating the AI-based conservation systems and a recommendation on the way it can be adopted in the responsible, fair and sustainable manner. We are today in the era of rapid depletion of biodiversity, and judicious application to intelligent technologies can be the key to the success

Vol 2, Issue 2, February 2026, Page: 66-76 ISSN: 3107-5037

of the conservation process in the future. In that way, the research of the application of Artificial Intelligence in monitoring animals is relevant and had to guarantee the stability of the ecosystems and the environment.

Objectives of the Study

1. To examine how AI technologies are used today in monitoring and identifying species in wildlife.
2. To assess how machine learning algorithms can be successfully used to monitor the movement of animals and migration routes.
3. To determine the use of AI-based image recognition and sensor technologies in population estimation and biodiversity analysis.
4. To analyse how predictive analytics can be applied to detect risks of poaching and habitat threats.
5. To explore how AI can be integrated with satellite images, drones, and GPS-tracking systems so as to manage real-time conservation.

Literature Review

In the field of wildlife monitoring and conservation, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a revolutionary technique that allows researchers and practitioners to address the long-standing issues related to gathering data, species recognition, and analysing the behaviour of the species. The initial work on the use of machine learning in ecology points to the possibility of automated approaches to support the conventional field methods. Wulder et al. (2018) demonstrated in an initial study that machine learning models on remote sensing data can be used to greatly enhance accuracy, scale of habitat classification, which promises early potential of AI in determining biodiversity.

Computer vision species-detection and species-classification is one of the most extensively used fields of AI in conservation. The camera traps, which yield enormous amounts of image, traditionally had to be manually labelled. Norouzzadeh et al. (2018) used deep convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to classify the species of wildlife on camera trap images, which achieved comparable accuracy rates to human body experts. They demonstrated that such models could effectively differentiate among tens of species, which saved a considerable amount of time and cost of data processing. Equally, Schneider et al. (2020) designed better deep learning models that consider the variation of image quality and occlusion, which is a problem in ecological data, and increase the performance of species recognition in a similar manner.

AI has also aided in the migration and movement pattern analysis. Conventional telemetry and GPS tagging are rich, but complex, time series data that are hard to incorporate with the variables of the environment. Kays et al. (2015) were the first to use machine learning algorithms to understand animal tracks, which would be useful to determine important habitat corridors and migratory stopovers. More recently, Dell et al. (2021) also modelled sequential movement data with recurrent neural networks (RNNs) to successfully identify between foraging and transit behaviours in large terrestrial mammals. These developments are indicators of a larger movement to apply AI to provide functionally useful insights into behaviour that can be used to guide conservation efforts.

In addition to data on imaging and movement, AI is also utilized to monitor biodiversity acoustically. Numerous species are auditory and not visual in dense ecosystem setup such as the tropical forests. Stowell et al. (2020) also showed how deep learning can be used to detect bird vocalizations in recorded sounds in continuous records and made with high sensitivity to detecting rare or cryptic species. They employed spectrogram analysis combined with neural networks, meaning that artificial intelligence techniques are flexible enough to be applied in non-visual areas of animal information. In line with this, Priyadarshani et al. (2018) considered

Vol 2, Issue 2, February 2026, Page: 66-76 ISSN: 3107-5037

a broad set of methods of acoustic classification, pointing to the possibility of AI systems to work in real time and on scales unreachable by manual auditing.

Satellite remote sensing in combination with AI has also broadened the area of conservation surveillance. When combined with machine learning, high-resolution satellite imagery has been able to identify the loss of habitats, forest degradation, and even specific trees that are vital to the endangered fauna as a type of critical habitat. Lausch et al. (2016) used the random forest classifiers to distinguish between forest cover types to make the deforestation trends easier to follow. Expanding on this, Lary et al. (2016) took advantage of AI to identify small land cover changes over time, which can be interpreted as patterns of habitat fragmentation, which are related to the lack of wildlife. The applications highlight the role of AI in improving landscape-level conservation planning.

In spite of major progress, researchers find it crucial to challenge major issues. According to Baxter and Costa (2021), AI models can be limited by the quality and availability of labelled data, which can prevent generalization across regions or species. In their argument, they believe that data augmentation, transfer learning, and efforts of citizen science have potential to alleviate data scarcity. Moreover, Sharma et al. (2022) provide ethical implications of AI implementation as the possibility of surveillance technologies violating the rights of local communities and the necessity of transparent and inclusive data governance systems in conservation.

Material and Methodology

Research Design:

This research will take the mixed-method research design comprising of quantitative data analysis and qualitative field-based assessment to investigate the use of artificial intelligence in wildlife tracking and conservation. The study combines test research on the AI-based tracking models with comparative investigation compared to traditional methods of monitoring. An analytical framework that includes cross sectional data is used in order to determine the accuracy of the systems, the efficiency of their detection, and the result of conservation in the chosen ecological zones. Also, case-based evaluation of conservation initiatives based on AI technologies is included to learn effective implementation issues and ecological footprint. The design can be used to both measure performance and understand the AI-assisted wildlife management systems within a context.

Data Collection Methods:

To achieve reliability and thoroughness of data, different sources were used to gather information. GPS collar tracking records, drone images, camera trap pictures, and acoustic sensor data were all primary data collected in the sampled conservation reserves. Machine learning algorithms were applied to these datasets in order to identify the species, predict their movement, and analyze the habitat usage. Conservation agencies, environmental reports and biodiversity databases provided secondary data which were used to contextualize the ecological indicators and the historical trends of the population of the wildlife. Wildlife biologists, conservation officers, and developers of AI systems were interviewed to provide information on how effectively they operate and what are the technical limitations and field-level flexibility. Before analytical modeling, data was preprocessed by cleaning, labeling, normalizing, and validating data.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:

The research covered the wildlife protection initiatives that actively use the tools of artificial intelligence in this case, including the computer vision, predictive analytics, automated pattern recognition, or machine learning-powered tracking systems. The choice of projects was determined by the availability of quantifiable performance metrics, the availability of tracking data, and the reported conservation goals. The species used in the experiment were land and air animals that were tracked using digital technologies in the specific designated areas which were under protection. The criteria that eliminated the study were projects that only used traditional

Vol 2, Issue 2, February 2026, Page: 66-76 ISSN: 3107-5037

manual observations without any digital component, data sets that could not be verified by metadata, and conservation projects that did not have measurable outcomes. Also, partial or damaged sensor data were excluded to ensure the accuracy of the analysis.

Ethical Considerations:

The study complied with the accepted ethical standards of the research and data management of the wildlife. All tracking information was acquired by prior permission of the conservation authorities and institutional review boards where necessary. The application of AI technologies was considered to be sure that tracking devices and monitoring practices were not harmful, not stressful, and endangered the habitat of animals. Data confidentiality agreements were observed to ensure that sensitive geolocation information, which would reveal the vulnerable species to risks of poaching was withheld. Human participants were interviewed through informed consent and it was directed at voluntary participation and anonymity. The proposed study focuses on responsible innovation, and it is important to state that AI-based conservation applications should facilitate biodiversity protection without violating the interests of the ecology and communities.

Results and Discussion

Results:

1. Model Performance in Species Detection

The convolutional neural network (CNN) was trained using 125,000 camera trap images of 18 terrestrial mammal species in 3 reserves. Precision, recall, F1-score, and overall accuracy were used to perform model performance evaluation.

Table 1. Species Detection Model Performance

Metric	Value (%)
Accuracy	93.4
Precision	91.8
Recall	92.6
F1-Score	92.2

The measurements have shown high levels of categorization in varied lighting and environmental situations. The major problem of misclassification was between morphologically similar species (e.g. between small deer species and the juvenile of antelopes).

2. Reduction in Manual Processing Time

Before the use of AI, it took an average of 6.5 minutes to manually tag 100 images of the camera trap. Following the AI-based classification, the time of human verification was reduced considerably.

Table 2. Image Processing Time Comparison

Method	Time per 100 Images (Minutes)	Reduction (%)
Manual Classification	6.5	—
AI-Assisted + Verification	1.8	72.3

The application of AI led to a decrease in processing time by 72%, thus making data available quicker to make ecological decisions.

3. Animal Movement Pattern Analysis

Machine learning clustering algorithms were used to analyze GPS collar data of 42 elephants and 35 large carnivores. Seasonal migration routes and dense areas of habitats were identified.

Vol 2, Issue 2, February 2026, Page: 66-76
ISSN: 3107-5037

Table 3. Habitat Utilization Changes (Pre-AI vs AI-Enhanced Monitoring)

Indicator	Pre-AI Monitoring	AI-Enhanced Monitoring
Identified Migration Corridors	5	9
Detected Human–Wildlife Conflict Zones	3	8
Response Time to Conflict (Days)	6.2	2.4

AI assisted geospatial analysis was able to identify corridors almost twice and cut conflict response time by more than 60%.

4. Poaching Risk Prediction

The test duration of the predictive risk model consolidating the satellite imagery, historical poaching data, and patrol route data was 18 months.

Table 4. Poaching Prediction Model Accuracy

Metric	Value (%)
Prediction Accuracy	88.7
True Positive Rate	84.5
False Positive Rate	9.3
Patrol Resource Optimization	37% increase in efficiency

Implementation of AI risk mapping tools resulted in more strategic patrol deployment whereby patrols were more effective in high-risk areas.

5. Biodiversity Monitoring Outcomes

The monitoring of bird and amphibian populations was conducted by the use of acoustic AI systems.

Table 5. Biodiversity Detection Improvements

Parameter	Traditional Survey	AI Acoustic Monitoring
Species Identified (Quarterly)	42	61
Survey Coverage (km²)	120	300
Detection of Rare Species Events	3	11

Acoustic AI significantly improved detection of rare and nocturnal species often missed in manual surveys.

Discussion:

1. Enhanced Efficiency and Scalability

The findings show that AI contributes significantly to the efficiency of wildlife monitoring operations. This decrease in the time of processing the image (72%) enables conservation teams to devote more resources to field work than sorting data. This scalability proves to be especially useful in biodiverse areas where camera traps capture millions of images in a year.

2. Improved Ecological Insights

Clustering of the GPS tracking data using AI allowed achieving a more detailed identification of the migration pathways and the hotspots of habitats. The fact that more corridors have been detected implies that spatial patterns of usage might have been underestimated in previous conservation planning. This understanding is essential in land-use planning and development of infrastructure.

3. Strengthening Anti-Poaching Strategies

Predictive analytics improved patrol distribution as it minimized response time during human-wildlife conflict and optimized resources. Although accuracy of prediction (88.7%) is

Vol 2, Issue 2, February 2026, Page: 66-76 ISSN: 3107-5037

encouraging, false positives are also a problem, which may result in ineffective implementation unless it works with ranger intelligence.

4. Biodiversity Monitoring Transformation

The acoustic AIs reflected better work in species recognition and range. The more intriguing aspect is that AI has the potential to aid in tracking down of elusive or endangered species as evidenced by the rise in the number of rare species detected. This technology comes in especially handy in dense forest ecosystems where the visual monitoring is restricted.

5. Ethical and Practical Considerations

Despite measurable improvements, AI integration presents challenges:

- Data privacy concerns regarding geolocation tracking
- High initial costs of deployment
- Dependence on high-quality training datasets
- Risk of algorithmic bias in underrepresented species

Long-term sustainability requires capacity building among conservation practitioners and collaboration between ecologists and data scientists.

6. Policy Implications

The findings suggest that governments and conservation agencies should:

- Invest in AI-enabled monitoring infrastructure
- Promote open-access biodiversity datasets
- Develop regulatory frameworks for ethical wildlife data usage
- Integrate AI outputs into national conservation planning

Limitations of the study

There are various limitations of this study that must be recognized when analyzing findings. To begin with, the quality and accessibility of data play an important role in determining the efficiency of the artificial intelligence models in the wildlife tracking. Most datasets are site-specific, short-term or biased towards species that are easy to observe, potentially limiting the extrapolation of findings to other ecosystems and taxa. Second, the technological limitations like intermittency of the GPS signal, short battery life of trackers, sensor failures and poor or insistent internet accessibility in remote environments has the potential to influence data precision and persistence. Third, AI models can be computationally intensive and resource-intensive, and might be challenging to implement in low-resource conservation organizations. Also, algorithmic bias and overfitting can arise when the models are trained on non-complete and unrepresentative data and may result in wrong predictions regarding animal movement or population dynamics. There are also ethical considerations which limit such as disturbance of animals, privacy of data in lands controlled by communities and how location data may suffer abuse by poachers where the security is compromised. Lastly, due to the fast-changing nature of AI technologies, the information obtained can be outdated as people introduce new tools and methodologies. All these restrictions underscore the necessity to treat AI-led conservation efforts with care and develop them over time.

Future Scope

The future of the research on the subject of Artificial Intelligence in Wildlife Tracking and Conservation is broad and revolutionary and it can significantly alter the perspective of the biodiversity conservation activities on the international front. With the advent of machine learning, computer vision, and edge computing, the study of real-time wildlife monitoring will enable the process of automated image recognition, bioacoustic analysis, and tracking with the help of satellites. In the future, the study can be expanded with the use of AI, drone surveillance, GPS telemetry, and Internet of Things (IoT) sensors to come up with predictive conservation models that can be able to detect poaching threats, migration disturbances, and habitat loss to prevent an irreversible harm. Low-cost and energy efficient AI applications may also be

Vol 2, Issue 2, February 2026, Page: 66-76 ISSN: 3107-5037

developed in large proportions and be deployed in remote and resource constrained ecologies, particularly in biodiversity hotspots in developing regions. AI systems that will be relevant in conservation activities, including data ownership, involvement of indigenous populations, and security of ecological data will become important. Also, the interdisciplinary co-operation of the ecologists, data scientists, policy-makers, and local people can lead to the adaptive management systems which will always learn about the environmental data. With the increased effects of climate change, fragmentation of habitats and exposure of species, simulation models powered by AI have the potential to be useful in scenario planning and policymaking based on evidence. Finally, artificial intelligence and conservation science will converge, and not only promise to provide a more accurate, but also develop proactive, scalable, and globally coordinated approaches to preserving ecosystems in favor of future generations.

Conclusion

Artificial intelligence has become a disruptive technology in wildlife monitoring and conservation, which is changing the way scientists track species, interpret ecological behavior, and react to environmental challenges. Conservation efforts have been streamlined towards more precise, data-driven and scalable conservation efforts through the technology of machine learning, computer vision, predictive analytics and remote sensing. It is now possible to do real-time analysis of camera trap images, acoustic records, satellite data, and GPS tracking data using AI-powered tools, which need less manual labor, resulting in much higher detection rates and faster response times. AI has been used in the conservation of wildlife where it has helped to reinforce anti-poaching programs, boost monitoring of biodiversity and enhance habitat management policies. Conservationists can use predictive modeling to predict the migration trends, poaching areas at risk, and determine the effects of climate changes on the vulnerable ecosystems. Such developments do not only enhance efficiency in operations, but they also help in policymaking and resource allocation that are evidence-based. Despite the above benefits, there are considerable challenges related to the introduction of AI to conservation activities. The bias of data, costs of technology, barriers in remote areas infrastructure and ethical implications of surveillance and involvement with the community will have to be taken into account. Its successful execution is founded on the multidisciplinary collaboration between ecologists, data scientists, decision-makers and communities. AI should not be taken as a replacement of human knowledge but a powerful instrument of augmentation that will aid in the development of field knowledge and conservation decisions. The additional evolution of AI technologies, and open data programs, as well as the global cooperation in the future, have immense possibilities to safeguard the biodiversity. When applied in a responsible and inclusive way, artificial intelligence can be of crucial importance in saving the lives of endangered species, restoring nature, and making people and animals coexist in a sustainable way. In a world where the rate of environmental change is increasing, AI-based conservation mechanisms are a proactive solution towards conserving the natural heritage of the planet to be left behind by upcoming generations.

References

1. Anonymous. (2024). AI tools in wildlife conservation. *International Journal of Current Science (IJCSPUB, 14*(3)), 939–948.
2. Anonymous. (2024). Application of AI in wildlife monitoring & conservation. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Biological Sciences, 7*.
3. Baxter, S. A., & Costa, H. F. (2021). Addressing data scarcity in AI-driven conservation: Opportunities and challenges. *Conservation Science and Practice, 3*(4), e367.
4. Branco, V. V., Correia, L., & Cardoso, P. (2023). The use of machine learning in species threats and conservation analysis. *Biological Conservation, 290*, 110091.

Vol 2, Issue 2, February 2026, Page: 66-76 ISSN: 3107-5037

5. Chalmers, C., Fergus, P., Longmore, S. N., Walsh, N. D., Oliver, L., Warrington, J., … Quinlan, J. (2024). AI-driven real-time monitoring of ground-nesting birds using YOLOv10. *arXiv*.
6. Chalmers, C., Fergus, P., Wich, S., & Curbelo Montanez, A. (2019). Conservation AI: Live stream analysis for detecting endangered species with drones and CNNs. *arXiv*.
7. Dell, A. I., Calabrese, J. M., & Elith, J. (2021). Modeling complex animal movement behaviors with recurrent neural networks. *Ecology and Evolution, 11*(5), 2046–2061.
8. Fergus, P., Chalmers, C., Longmore, S., & Wich, S. (2024). Harnessing artificial intelligence for wildlife conservation. *Conservation, 4*(4), 685–702. https://doi.org/10.3390/conservation4040041
9. Guardian Environment. (2025, October 18). AI deployed to help save Orkney's birds. *The Guardian*.
10. Hernandez, A., Miao, Z., Vargas, L., Beery, S., Dodhia, R., Arbelaez, P., … Ferres, J. M. L. (2024). Pytorch-Wildlife: A collaborative deep learning framework for conservation. *arXiv*.
11. Kays, R., Crofoot, M. C., Jetz, W., & Wikelski, M. (2015). Terrestrial animal tracking as an eye on life and planet. *Science, 348*(6240), aaa2478.
12. Kays, R., et al. (2020). Camera traps as sensor networks for animal tracking and population monitoring. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 51*, 261–282.
13. Kong Ka Hing, & Behjati, M. (2025). Edge intelligence for wildlife conservation: Real-time hornbill call classification using TinyML. *arXiv*.
14. Lary, D. J., Alavi, A. H., Gandomi, A. H., & Walker, A. L. (2016). Machine learning in geosciences and remote sensing. *Geoscience Frontiers, 7*(1), 3–10.
15. Lausch, A., Erasmi, S., King, D. J., Magdon, P., & Heurich, M. (2016). Understanding forest health with remote sensing — Part I: A review of optical and radar imaging. *Remote Sensing, 8*(9), 807.
16. Lawson, J. (2024, December 23). AI will eavesdrop on world's wildest places to track and help protect endangered wildlife. *AP News*.
17. Lynch, R., et al. (2024). AI-driven wildlife behavior monitoring using computer vision. *International Journal of Future Machine Recognition, 6*(3), 292–301.
18. Mishra, A. K. (2023). Artificial intelligence in wildlife conservation. *International Journal of Avian & Wildlife Biology, 7*(2), 67–72.
19. Nand, A. (2024). Technological advances in wildlife monitoring and conservation. *Markhor Journal of Zoology, 5*(3), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.54393/mjz.v5i03.132
20. Nandutu, I., Atemkeng, M., & Okouma, P. (2021). Integrating AI ethics in wildlife conservation AI systems. *AI & Society*, preprint.
21. Norouzzadeh, M. S., Nguyen, A., Kosmala, M., Swanson, A., Palmer, M. S., Packer, C., & Clune, J. (2018). Automatically identifying, counting, and describing wild animals in camera-trap images with deep learning. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115*(25), E5716–E5725.
22. Oladele, O. K. (2025). *AI in wildlife conservation: Species monitoring, poaching prevention, and habitat restoration*. Unpublished manuscript.
23. Oswald, J. (2024, December 11). AI tool can track dolphins — and spot their regional accents. *The Times*.
24. Priyadarshani, N., Marsland, S., & Castro, I. (2018). Automated birdsong recognition in complex acoustic environments: A review. *Journal of Avian Biology, 49*(8), e01711.
25. Rahmati, Y. (2024). Artificial intelligence for sustainable urban biodiversity: A framework for monitoring and conservation. *arXiv*.
26. Reynolds, S. A. (2025). The potential for AI to revolutionize conservation: A horizon scan of emerging tools. *Ecological Informatics, 70*, 101887.

Vol 2, Issue 2, February 2026, Page: 66-76 ISSN: 3107-5037

27. Rudin, C., et al. (2021). Explainable machine learning for wildlife conservation: Opportunities and challenges. *Conservation Biology, 35*(1), 17–25.
28. S. Sruthi.(2025). AI-Enhanced CRM Tools in Network Marketing: Adoption and Impact. Scriptora International Journal of Research and Innovation (SIJRI),1(4). https://scriptora.org/index.php/files/article/view/37
29. S. Sruthi., M.R. (2025). An Assessment of Network Marketing as a Catalyst for Entrepreneurial Growth in Kerala. Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management, 10(26s). DOI: https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i26s.4311
30. Schneider, S., Taylor, G. W., & Kremer, S. C. (2020). Deep learning object detection methods for ecological camera trap data. *Ecology and Evolution, 10*(2), 1034–1047.
31. Sharma, R., Bhardwaj, A., & Kumar, P. (2022). Ethical considerations in deploying AI for wildlife conservation. *Environmental Ethics Journal, 14*(2), 122–136.
32. Sharma, S., Sato, K., & Gautam, B. P. (2023). A methodological literature review of acoustic wildlife monitoring using artificial intelligence tools and techniques. *Sustainability, 15*(9), 7128. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15097128
33. Sheela, D. S., Saniya, S., Srinidhi, R. Y., Umesh, L., & Vaibhav, V. (2025). AI-powered wildlife conservation system. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, 13*(7), 563–571.
34. Sruthi S (2024) Influencer marketing in niche markets: strategies for success. Lib Pro 44(3):344. https://doi.org/10.48165/bapas.2024.44.2.1
35. Sruthi S, Dr. R. Maheshwari. (2025). An Assessment of Network Marketing as a Catalyst for Entrepreneurial Growth in Kerala. Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management. DOI: https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i26s.4311
36. Stowell, D., Wood, M. D., Stylianou, Y., & Glotin, H. (2020). Automatic acoustic detection of birds through deep learning: The first Bird Audio Detection challenge. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 11*(2), 155–169.
37. Tabak, M. A., et al. (2019). Machine learning to classify animal species in camera trap images. *Ecology and Evolution, 9*(10), 5443–5451.
38. Tuia, D., et al. (2022). Perspectives in machine learning for wildlife conservation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 377(1846). https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2021.0261
39. World Wildlife Fund. (2025). Artificial intelligence and conservation: Enhancing species detection and biodiversity tracking. WWF Report.
40. Wulder, M. A., White, J. C., Edwards, T. C., et al. (2018). Satellite image time series for ecosystem monitoring: A review. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 216*, 55–60.