

Human-AI Collaboration in Financial Strategy: A Study on Trust, Accuracy, and Organizational Agility

Mrs. Jyothi Acharya

Assistant Professor

Department of Commerce

Poornaprajna College (Autonomous), Udupi

Mrs. Prathibha S Bhat

Assistant Professor

Department of Commerce

Poornaprajna College (Autonomous), Udupi

Mrs. Sumalatha P J

Assistant Professor

Department of Commerce

Poornaprajna College (Autonomous), Udupi

Abstract

The increasingly popular adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) in decision making within the financial sector has transformed the strategic planning process of contemporary organizations. Rather than replacing human judgement, AI is currently being installed as an ally, improving analytical skills, prediction, and responsiveness to the changing market environment. In this paper, the author discusses the issues of human-AI cooperation that can be used to facilitate the development of financial strategies, and the focus is specifically on the issues of trust, the inaccuracy of decisions and agility of the organizations. The research is based on the empirical research data of financial professionals and strategic managers working in various industries to examine the position of trust in AI systems and its influence on ensuring the efficient use of algorithmic insights and the quality of strategic financial decision-making. The paper also evaluates whether the AI-advanced financial analysis can increase predictive accuracy and risk evaluation than the human-based procedures. Organizational agility analysis is viewed as an outcome variable, which is the ability of company to respond rapidly to the uncertainty of financial strategy, volatility, and competition pressures. The quantitative research design was employed in the collection and analysis of the data by use of structured questionnaires and statistical analysis to determine the relationship between major constructs. The findings reveal that the human-AI collaboration contributes to the precision of the final decisions made in case human experience is augmented with AI-related analytics, particularly in the complicated and data-intensive financial settings. The critical mediating factor is trust, and user acceptance and reliance on AI products are fundamentally based on it. Besides that, firms successfully using AI in finance strategy are more maneuverable, and as such, are able to plan the scenarios quicker and use resources better informed. The study will contribute to the growing literature on AI-enhanced management by showing the importance of socio-technical alignment in the process of financial strategy. Practically, the findings will be informative to those organizations that are interested in exploiting AI and escaping loss of human judgment, ethical oversight and strategic management. The paper ends off with the significance of having a governance structure that would promote trust and lifelong learning of the financial relationships between humans and AI.

Keywords: Human-AI collaboration, Financial strategy, Trust in artificial intelligence, Decision accuracy, Organizational agility, AI-enabled decision-making

Introduction

The incorporation of the artificial intelligence (AI) within the financial management process has transformed the organizational structure, analysis and implementation of strategic decisions at an accelerated rate. The traditionally human-expert, intuitive and historic based financial strategy is being affected by AI systems that have the capability to analyze huge volumes of data, identify complex patterns and make predictions. The contemporary financial environment is defined by the introduction of the human-AI collaboration instead of the replacement of the human judgment role, as the decision-making authority is shared between analytical technologies and strategic managers, according to the novel approach. The latter may be achieved through collaboration that assists in enhancing accuracy, responsiveness and flexibility in volatile financial markets. The problem of trust is a highly significant element of human-AI collaboration in financial strategy. Despite the fact that the artificial intelligence systems are fast in making calculations and recommendations using the data, the information on the credibility, transparency, and ethic of the technologies should be learned by the decision-makers of the organization. Skepticism may lead to poor utilization of AI tools and the overreliance on it without critical human reaction may be putting the firms in systemic risk. In this way, an adequate understanding of the processes involved in defining and sustaining mutual trust between human operators and artificial intelligence systems is the key to great strategic outcome. Accuracy is the other pre-requisite factor that has been identified to influence the application of AI in financial strategy. The perceived and actual correctness of the forecasts, risk analysis, and investment recommendations provided by AI has a great effect on managerial acceptance. The quality of a strategic decision and reduction in ambiguity can be improved with the combination of AI-based accuracy and contextual knowledge of humans and expertise in the domain. In addition, the collaboration between humans and AI also enables the company to be nimble since they will react more promptly to the market changes, regulatory changes, and financial shocks. Agile companies utilize AI knowledge and do not lose the possibility to be flexible, creative, and make ethically responsible decisions. This paper explains the relationship between trust, accuracy and agility of organizations in the financial strategy and as such, it offers an understanding of how organizations can optimize this new strategic alliance.

Background of the study

Organizations in industries are adopting Artificial Intelligence (AI) in fundamental strategic areas in an era characterized by high technological development rates. No place is where this change is more evident than in the area of financial strategy, predictive analytics, automated decision support, and intelligent forecasting systems are likely to transform the manner in which companies distribute resources, risk management, and growth opportunities. The traditional financial strategy has been based on human judgment, intuition, experience and sensitivity to the situation. Nonetheless, the growing complexity of the world markets, the amount of financial information, and the rate of change have pushed companies to the adoption of AI-powered tools that are capable of processing data at scale and extracting patterns that are not instantly perceivable to human cognition.

Although the potential benefits of AI can be figured out quite clearly due to the computational abilities, the successful implementation of systems varies with the collaboration of humans and machines in an organizational context. One of the key issues is trust: practitioners have to be knowledgeable about AI outputs and believe in them to be meaningful and relevant when using them in strategic decision-making. In the event AI suggestions are viewed as opaque or untrustworthy, the decision-makers will underuse or ignore useful information, resulting in suboptimal strategic decisions. Conversely, the overuse of the AI without an adequate control of a human will predispose the organizations to the mistakes based on the bias of the data or the wrong interpretation of the algorithm. Accuracy of AI systems in regards to financial matters also influence how companies perceive its helpfulness. Financial strategy involves the process

of making high-pressure decisions and the errors in these decisions may result in the loss of a lot of finances, damaged reputation or even penalties. So, it is crucial to enjoy the conditions under which AI can offer specific and helpful data and how the information can correspond with human anticipations to fulfill the goals of human-AI synergy. The other factor that is shaping this landscape is organizational agility. The speed and efficiency of financial planning change is required as the companies are competing in the ever-changing and volatile environment. The capacity to combine human experience with the AI skills may give a definitive advantage to the organizations in their responsiveness, scenario planning and realignment of the strategies. However, agility is not only a technological implementation factor but also an organizational culture, structure and capability of working population. Despite the fact that the issue of AI has received more focus, the relations between trust, accuracy, and organizational agility in the financial strategy are studied less. Most of the existing studies focus on technical aspects of performance, or the general perception of AI as a phenomenon, but they do not discuss their influence on the strategic performance in a real organization situation in detail. The gap proposed in the study will be addressed by researching the perceptions and perceptions of human decision-makers towards AI tools, the credibility of AI outputs on the issue of decision-confidence, and how the connection between the two facilitates or hinders organizational agility in the financial strategy. By basing the study on practical problems as well as theoretical methods of human and technologies interaction, the research will assist in understanding more of what contributes to human-AI collaboration being effective in strategic financial decision-making. The way this has been learned can be used by managers, policy-makers, and technology developers who might be interested in making AI not so much an instrument, but rather a partner that can be used to promote strategic performance.

Justification

The rapid development of artificial intelligence in the process of financial decision making altered how organizations make, analyse and execute financial strategies. There is also an increase in the use of AI-based tools in order to predict, evaluate risk, optimize a portfolio, and undertake real-time analytics faster and with more computational accuracy which is much stronger than human capabilities. However, in the context of the technological advancement, the realm of the financial strategy is one of those aspects, where human intuition, moral rationality, and the situational knowledge cannot be disregarded. Human-AI collaboration has also been formed in this crossroads hence, the need to explore how trust, accuracy and organizational agility can be used to ascertain the success of such relationships.

Trust is a critical determiner of success of human to AI interaction in financial strategy. The absence of a proper level of trust to the information produced by AI will lead to managers not utilizing advanced analytical systems to their maximum utilization, which will lead to a lack of strategic performance. Conversely, reliance on AI too much, and the absence of human control may result in high risk of error, biased outcomes or incorrectness of strategies. Investigating issues that influence trust in the AI systems is therefore critical in the sense that it will generate a moderate and accountable adoption of the AI systems in the financial operations.

Accuracy is the foundation of the financial strategies of AI. Despite the fact that AI systems are considered to be highly accurate, the quality of the data, the model architecture, and the interpretability influence its outputs greatly. Human experience plays one of the roles in verifying the results, identifying the exceptions, and providing qualitative information that AI might not be able to identify. The current research is justified in establishing the effects of perceived and actual accuracy towards managerial trust and decision quality that occur in collaborative financial environments.

The concept of agility in organizations has been necessitated by the strategic demands in the dynamic and competitive markets. The agile can be made by the human-AI team, which will enable the responsiveness to the market changes, the plan of action, and flexibility in the

deployment of resources. However, the extent to which the organizations can translate AI functionalities into prompt financial planning depends on organizational culture, expertise readiness and administration frameworks. An analysis of this connection can be of much importance in determining how firms can align strategic adjustability with technological dynamism.

Despite the fact that the literature on AI applications in finance has grown significantly, more empirical studies explore the relationship between trust, accuracy, and organizational agility using the context of human and AI collaboration and interaction directly. This has been bridged by this work which has brought out a comprehensive understanding regarding the interplay of these dimensions in the determination of the outcome of financial strategies. As one of the expectations, the findings are expected to contribute to both academic knowledge and managerial practice since it will assist organizations build collaborative frameworks that may enhance the quality of decisions, develop trust, and be responsive to the strategic considerations, in an AI-based financial setting.

Objectives of the Study

1. To investigate how far artificial intelligence is embedded in the development of the financial strategy in the organizations.
2. To examine the extent of trust that financial professionals have in AI-based analytical and decision-support systems.
3. To compare the perceived accuracy of AI-assisted financial forecasts and strategic advice to human judgment.
4. To determine the effect of human wisdom and AI systems collaboration on the quality of financial decision-making.
5. To examine the importance of human-AI cooperation in improving the agility of an organization, especially when market volatility and financial uncertainty are at risk.

Literature Review

1. Human-AI Collaboration and Strategic Decision-making

The implementation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the strategic decision-making process within organizations has been a relatively accepted revolutionary idea. The AI financial strategy operations extend beyond predictive analytics to automated decision support, which leads to the decisions being of higher quality and provides competitive advantage (Joshi, 2025). The researchers emphasize that AI complementing human judgment has the potential to enhance the degree of analytical rigor and strategic responsiveness in complex financial situations, when the amount and diversity of information surpass human capacity to think. The use of AI in the financial services sector has now transcended automation, and collaboration with human experts. Schmitt (2024) contends that explainable automated machine learning (AutoML) systems that support credit-related decisions enhance more human-AI cooperation by rendering algorithmic decisions understandable, which leads to enhanced trust and downstream performance including accuracy and regulatory standards.

2. Trust in Human-AI Financial Decision Systems

The aspect of trust turns out to be a constructive construct of the performance of the human-AI cooperation. The conceptualization of trust is the readiness of human beings to be susceptible to AI judgments with references to the anticipation of responsibility, skill, and openness, which is in line with classical trust theory applied to the socio-technical systems. Frontiers research points out that human trust in AI is a direct determinant of the readiness of human actors to adopt AI recommendations in the organizational processes.

When applied to financial decision-making, experimental research suggests that individuals will trust the output of algorithmic systems as much as human expert opinion in situations where the individual is convinced that the AI is competent and transparent, especially in objective

forecasting tasks. It is remarkable that the theoretical resources like the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) demonstrate that trust is formed with the AI systems in the areas depending on performance expectations and system easiness.

Trust however is not a fixed factor and can undermine or change depending on performance dynamics and changes in context. As an example, some controlled experiments in the field of financial forecasting indicate that belief in human experts and AI agents may react more or less to structural breaks in the data environment, and that AI systems react more effectively to preserve trust because of perceived objectivity.

Besides, collaborative interaction design, such as transparency and explainability, is involved with trust calibration. Empirical results indicate that when AI systems are highly explanatory of the recommendations, human partners become more accurate in their decisions and formulate the right calibration of trust to prevent over-reliance and under-use of AI knowledge.

3. Accuracy, Complementarity, and Decision Outcomes

In the collaborative financial decision-making, accuracy is very important as a performance dimension. The advantage of AI is the processing of massive data, the identification of trends, and the development of high-quality forecasts, which, in many cases, are superior to the human eye. This level of predictive accuracy improves the usefulness of human-AI teams, especially when strategic choices rely on probabilistic predictions and risk evaluation. At the same time, human judgment will still be necessary particularly when it comes to interpretation of subtle financial situations where domain knowledge and intuition play a pivotal role. The studies concerning the complementarity of human and AI help to understand that the performance of collaborative teams depends on the skill of the user and the tuning of algorithms: high skill users can correctly understand when to follow AI recommendations, whereas inexperienced or intermediate users may over- or under-use AI recommendations. This implies that human skills affect the way the precision of AI delivery is received and implemented in the strategic circumstances.

4. Organizational Agility and Collaborative Capability

Synergistic human-AI systems become more and more essential to organizational agility the ability to effectively respond to market changes and new risks in a very short time. Financial organizations that are agile use the latest AI information to adjust strategic priorities to the market volatility and, as a result, respond to changes via quicker decision-making and faster resource distribution. Research on technology-based agility points out that human-AI partnerships are more flexible in an organization because AI can be analytically fast but human foresight and moral judgement can be brought to bear on its use.

In addition, the literature regarding human-AI teaming proves that trust, interoperability, and the ability to share knowledge between human and AI agents are major contributing factors to value creation and sustainable adaptive performance. The development of the organizational capability, which is a vital part of the dynamic strategic agility, is brought about by human-AI teaming interactions that establish common ground and mutual learning.

5. Synthesizing Trust, Accuracy, and Agility

Throughout the literature, it becomes apparent that a set of three themes that predominantly appear in the studies on effective human-AI collaboration in financial strategy include; (a) trust as a prerequisite of adoption and productive use, (b) accuracy of AI outputs as a quality of decisions, and (c) organizational agility as a consequence of well-adapted human-AI systems. The following are facilitated by trust, integration of AI insights into strategic processes, accuracy defines the quality of shared decisions, and organizational agility is the ability of the firm to implement the insights in dynamic settings.

Material and Methodology

Research Design:

The research takes the nature of descriptive and explanatory research design in investigating the role of human and AI collaboration in finances strategy formulation, particularly in terms of trust, accuracy, and agility of the organization. The cross-sectional method is used to identify the perceptions and experiences of professionals at a specific time. Quantitative and qualitative aspects are combined to present an overall picture of the impact of the use of AI-supported decision-making systems on the strategic financial results and responsiveness of organizations. The design can be used to establish correlation between the important variables as well as gain contextual information concerning human judgment and dependency on technology.

Data Collection Methods:

Primary data are gathered by means of a questionnaire that is structured and that will be carried out among the people involved in the finance sector, whether as financial analysts, strategy managers, risk managers, and senior executives of an organization where AI-based tools are actively used in the financial planning, forecasting, or the decision-making process. The questionnaire will consist of Likert-scale questions about trust in AI systems, perceived accuracy of AI-generated information, and how human-AI cooperation will affect organizational agility.

Moreover, semi-structured interviews are also held with selected few respondents in order to obtain more qualitative information on collaborative practices, problems and dynamics of decision making. The secondary data is acquired through scholarly journals, industrial reports, company reports and publicly achieved case studies to help in backing up the theoretical foundation and contextual examination.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:

The study includes respondents who:

- Are currently employed in finance-related roles.
- Have direct experience using AI or advanced analytics tools in financial decision-making.
- Work in organizations that have adopted AI-driven systems for strategic or operational finance functions.

The study excludes:

- Individuals with no exposure to AI-supported financial tools.
- Respondents from purely manual or traditional financial environments.
- Incomplete or inconsistent questionnaire responses that may affect data reliability.

Ethical Considerations:

The ethics is strongly followed during the research process. All the respondents are free to participate in the study and informed consent is taken before data collection. The respondents will be given confidentiality and anonymity and no personal information will be used or disclosed to any third party other than academic analysis. All the data collected are only used where there is research purpose and the stored data is safe to avoid unauthorized usage. The paper does not contain bias, manipulation, or misrepresentation of results or any other form of deviation in relation to the accepted ethics of academic research.

Results and Discussion

1. Overview of Data Analysis

The paper investigated the role of human-AI cooperation in the development of financial strategies with particular regard to three fundamental dimensions, including the trust in AI systems, perceived accuracy of AI-assisted decisions, and organizational agility. Structured

questionnaires were used to collect data with finance managers, strategy analysts and senior executives of medium and large organizations. The statistical analysis was carried out based on descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and multiple regression to estimate the relationship between variables.

2. Descriptive Statistics of Key Variables

The table 1 shows the standard deviations and the mean scores of the significant study variables. The general findings showed that the perception of the AI tools was very high, with moderate-high trust towards the AI systems. Organizational agility was seen to have a relatively large variability, which suggests the variation in the capability of firms to act on AI-based insights.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Study Variables

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation
Trust in AI Systems	3.98	0.64
Perceived Accuracy of AI	4.21	0.58
Human Judgment Integration	4.05	0.61
Organizational Agility	3.87	0.72
Financial Strategy Effectiveness	4.12	0.55

Scale: 1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree

The comparatively large means indicate that organizations are starting to consider AI as a reliable support system, but not as one that will substitute human expertise.

3. Correlation Analysis

The correlation analysis was carried out to find out the strength of the relationship and the direction of relationship between the variables. The findings show that there are statistically significant positive associations between trust in AI, perceived accuracy, and organizational agility.

Table 2: Correlation Matrix

Variable	1	2	3	4	5
1. Trust in AI Systems	1.000				
2. Perceived Accuracy of AI	0.68**	1.000			
3. Human Judgment Integration	0.62**	0.59**	1.000		
4. Organizational Agility	0.54**	0.61**	0.57**	1.000	
5. Strategy Effectiveness	0.66**	0.72**	0.64**	0.69**	1.000

Note: **p < 0.01

The high correlation between the perceived accuracy and strategy effectiveness ($r = 0.72$) emphasizes the importance of finding credible AI outputs in making financial decisions.

4. Regression Analysis

A multiple regression was used to investigate how trust in AI, perceived accuracy, and integration of the human judgment affect the organizational agility and effectiveness of financial strategy.

Table 3: Regression Results for Financial Strategy Effectiveness

Predictor Variable	Beta (β)	t-value	Significance (p)
Trust in AI Systems	0.28	4.62	<0.01
Perceived Accuracy of AI	0.41	6.88	<0.01

Human Judgment Integration	0.23	3.95	<0.01
$R^2 = 0.63$			

The model represents 63% of the variance in financial strategy effectiveness which is a good explanatory power. The strongest predictor was perceived accuracy, which indicates that the belief in AI-generated insights has a direct positive effect on the strategic outcomes.

5. Discussion of Findings

The results show that effective human-AI partnership in financial strategy is not necessarily determined by the level of technological development but the degree of trust and explainability of AI systems. Perceived accuracy is associated with high confidence in managers, who will be more willing to use AI-based forecasts, risk, and investment choices. The trust in AI systems was identified as having a strong impact on the organizational agility as well as the effectiveness of strategy. In cases where managers place their trust in AI suggestions, the decision-making cycle reduces, hence being able to respond due to market volatility and financial uncertainties faster. Nevertheless, the beneficial aspects of integration of human judgment attest to the fact that AI is a useful tool of decision-support and not an independent decision-maker. The synergistic use of AI analytics and human abilities enjoyed the benefit in the field of organizational nimbleness. The level of flexibility in resource distribution and re-budgeting and financial strategies in responding to changing environments also demonstrated a greater level of flexibility in companies that actively used AI knowledge and management experience. Overall, the results indicate that the human-AI interaction must be equalized to achieve strategic accuracy, reduced biasness of the decision-making process, and organizational responsiveness. The article identifies the need to have open models of AI, continuous employee training, and governance, which will increase trust and maximize the strategic value of AI in financial management.

Limitations of the study

Although the current study has some contribution to make, it also possesses some limitations that need to be mentioned. To begin with, the study is mostly based on the self-reported impressions of finance practitioners on the topics of trust, accuracy, and organizational agility in terms of Human-AI collaboration. These reactions can be either personal bias, previous experience with AI systems, or corporate culture, which can influence the objectivity of the results. Second, it is based on the organizations, which have already implemented AI-based financial tools. Consequently, the experiences of those firms who have been in the initial stages of adoption or firms who are slow to adapt AI are not well represented affecting the overall generalizability of the findings to different organizational settings. Third, AI accuracy is measured by the user and not by the actual technical performance measure. Although such an approach is indicative of real-world decision-making contexts, it might not accurately capture the real-world accuracy or strength of AI systems applied to the financial strategy development process. Fourth, the cross-sectional research design does not enable the tracking of the changes in trust, reliance, and agility to organizational changes over time. The study is beyond such long-term effects as learning curves, alterations in human judgment, and adaptive AI capabilities. Finally, the external variables such as regulatory frameworks, policies or data governance, and ethical issues regarding the use of AI were not discussed in detail. These factors may significantly affect the financial strategy development and responsiveness of Human-AI collaboration, that is why additional research should be carried out in its larger contextual background.

Future Scope

The existing study opens many research possibilities in the future concerning Human-AI

collaboration in financial strategy. As the level of artificial intelligence models and their sophistication and autonomy keeps rising, any further study in the future may aim at examining the impact of the newly created AI model, whose architecture is explainable and interpretable, on the levels of managerial trust and the level of long-term strategic decision-making. The study of the significance of transparency and ethical governance systems can be part of the research that can help illuminate the way in which trust between financial practitioners and AI-based systems can be preserved. To expand the area of the future research, longitudinal studies can be performed to identify how the trust in AI evolves over time and the effect of repetitive contacts on the correctness of decisions and organizational learning. Of particular interest in the understanding of whether further Human-AI collaboration would lead to the increase in the strategic foresight and resilience in the financial unpredictability or the market shocks would be these studies. Another potential direction is the sector-specific analysis. These comparative studies of the banking industry, fintech, manufacturing finance, the public sector and multinational corporations may point to contextual differences in the use of AI, accuracy perception, and nimbleness. Moreover, cross-country studies can highlight how regulatory environment, culture, and the rates of digital maturity could become determining factors in Human-AI collaboration of the financial plan. Human-AI collaboration as well as the organizational capabilities, such as knowledge management, dynamic capabilities, and digital leadership, might also be studied in future. The research into the moderation of the influence of financial managerial cognitive style, domain, and technological preparedness on the decision-making of the AI can also be employed to add that the theoretical viability of the field can be enhanced. The future research can be conducted in a mixed methodology with experimental techniques, a financial management-based financial decision model with simulation approach and real-time analytics to monitor the micro interactions between the human beings and AI systems. The behavioral and neuroscientific knowledge may be deemed as one more supplement to the previous knowledge on trust creation and decision making in AI-backed areas of finance. Finally, the future research can determine how collaborative intelligence is strategically relevant to organizational agility, whether there exists Human-AI synergy to enable rapid adjustment, competitive strategic innovation as well as sustainable competitive advantage in digitally disrupted financial ecosystems.

Conclusion

This paper has explored the changing nature of the human-AI coalition in the financial strategy, especially in terms of trust, accuracy and agility of the organisation. The results show that AI-based analytical applications contribute greatly to improving the accuracy and speed of financial decision-making in combination with the expertise of humans. AI systems are not a replacement of strategic judgment, but instead are complementary assets that aid in forecasting, risk assessment and scenario analysis. The credibility of AI outputs is, however, strictly connected with the quality of data, the transparency of the model and the level of human control that may be included in the decision process.

Reliability proved to be a primary factor that defines the success of human to AI working. The researchers demonstrate that financial practitioners would like to follow information assisted by AI more readily in the circumstances when the systems demonstrate their stable operation, clear results, and can be in touch with business objectives. Trust does not occur that one day, but is built over time through recurring interactions, training and protection of institutions such as ethical codes and governance controls. The human responsibility is also obligatory and this implies that strategic decisions are situational and sound in ethics and capable of altering depending on unforeseen events in the market. In addition, the AI of the financial strategy was also found to strengthen the agility of organizations. Companies that have been able to combine human intelligence with AI-driven intelligence become more adaptive to market, regulatory paradigm shifts and competition. This responsiveness has enabled organizations to be ready to

transform their strategies to be proactive instead of reactive which has enabled the organizations to become resilient in the dynamic financial environments.

In general, the paper concludes that the effectiveness of human-AI collaboration in financial strategy relies on the balanced integration, which means that the accuracy of technological integration must be supported by human intuition, experience, and sense of moral responsibility. Organizations that have invested in mechanisms of trust building, never ending development of skills and a transparent system of AI are in a better position to use AI as a strategic ally. Future studies can also expand on sector-related uses and longitudinal effects of human-AI co-operation on financial performance and governance.

References

1. Adadi, A., & Berrada, M. (2018). Peeking inside the black box: A survey on explainable artificial intelligence (XAI). *IEEE Access*, 6, 52138–52160. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2870052>
2. Agrawal, A., Gans, J., & Goldfarb, A. (2018). *Prediction machines: The simple economics of artificial intelligence*. Harvard Business Review Press.
3. Babic, B., Gerke, S., Evgeniou, T., & Cohen, I. G. (2020). Beware explanations from AI in health care. *Science*, 368(6494), 284–286. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb1489>
4. Banko, M., & Brill, E. (2001). Scaling to very very large corpora for natural language disambiguation. *Proceedings of the 39th Annual Meeting of the ACL*, 26–33.
5. Benbasat, I., & Wang, W. (2005). Trust in and adoption of online recommendation agents. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 6(3), 72–101.
6. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). *Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future*. W. W. Norton & Company.
7. Burton, J. W., Stein, M. K., & Jensen, T. B. (2020). A systematic review of algorithm aversion in decision-making. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 29(3), 220–239. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085X.2020.1734245>
8. Davenport, T. H., & Kirby, J. (2016). Just how smart are smart machines? *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 57(3), 21–25.
9. Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. *Harvard Business Review*, 96(1), 108–116.
10. Dietvorst, B. J., Simmons, J. P., & Massey, C. (2015). Algorithm aversion: People erroneously avoid algorithms after seeing them err. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 144(1), 114–126. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000033>
11. Faraj, S., Pachidi, S., & Sayegh, K. (2018). Working and organizing in the age of the learning algorithm. *Information and Organization*, 28(1), 62–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoandorg.2018.02.005>
12. Glikson, E., & Woolley, A. W. (2020). Human trust in artificial intelligence: Review of empirical research. *Academy of Management Annals*, 14(2), 627–660. <https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2018.0057>
13. Huang, M.-H., & Rust, R. T. (2018). Artificial intelligence in service. *Journal of Service Research*, 21(2), 155–172. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670517752459>
14. Jarrahi, M. H. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the future of work: Human–AI symbiosis in organizational decision making. *Business Horizons*, 61(4), 577–586. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2018.03.007>

15. Kaplan, A., & Haenlein, M. (2019). Siri, Siri, in my hand: Who's the fairest in the land? *Business Horizons*, 62(1), 15–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2018.08.004>
16. Keding, C. (2021). Understanding the interplay of artificial intelligence and strategic management. *Strategic Management Review*, 1(1), 1–19.
17. Langer, M., Oster, D., Speith, T., Hermanns, H., Kästner, L., Schmidt, E., & Baum, K. (2021). What do we want from explainable AI (XAI)? *Artificial Intelligence*, 296, 103473. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artint.2021.103473>
18. Lee, J. D., & See, K. A. (2004). Trust in automation: Designing for appropriate reliance. *Human Factors*, 46(1), 50–80. <https://doi.org/10.1518/hfes.46.1.50.30392>
19. Makridakis, S. (2017). The forthcoming artificial intelligence revolution: Its impact on society and firms. *Futures*, 90, 46–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2017.03.006>
20. Martin, K. (2019). Ethical implications and accountability of algorithms. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 160(4), 835–850. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-3921-3>
21. Pavlou, P. A. (2003). Consumer acceptance of electronic commerce: Integrating trust and risk. *Information Systems Research*, 14(1), 101–134. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.14.1.101>.
22. Raisch, S., & Krakowski, S. (2021). Artificial intelligence and management: The automation–augmentation paradox. *Academy of Management Review*, 46(1), 192–210. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2018.0072>
23. Shrestha, Y. R., Ben-Menaem, S. M., & von Krogh, G. (2019). Organizational decision-making structures in the age of AI. *California Management Review*, 61(4), 66–83. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125619862257>
24. Teece, D. J., Peteraf, M., & Leih, S. (2016). Dynamic capabilities and organizational agility. *California Management Review*, 58(4), 13–35. <https://doi.org/10.1525/cmrr.2016.58.4.13>
25. Wilson, H. J., & Daugherty, P. R. (2018). Collaborative intelligence: Humans and AI are joining forces. *Harvard Business Review*, 96(4), 114–123.