

## Beyond Engagement: Measuring the Hidden ROI of Social Media Presence for Modern Brands

**Dr. Prakash Borah**

Assistant Professor,

REVA Business School, REVA University, Bangalore

### Abstract

Social media is now one of the pillars of brand communication and consumer interaction in the changing digital marketplace. Nonetheless, although indicators like likes, shares, and the number of followers prevail in performance indicators, the indicators of engagement hardly prove the financial and strategic value of the social media use. The paper presents the idea of the hidden return on investment (ROI), which is the less obvious but important consequences, which lead to the long-term brand equity, customer loyalty, and increased business growth. Based on quantitative and qualitative evidence, the study analyzes the role of brand perception, trusting development, customer advocacy, and cross-channel influence as the indirect but quantifiable gauge of ROI. The paper uses case studies on various industries, evaluates how the contemporary brands are incorporating social analytics, sentiment analysis, and customer lifetime value models to discover these latent returns. The results indicate that the most successful brands no longer emphasize on short-term interaction indicators but the overall performance models that make the alignment of the social strategies to the overall organizational goals. Besides that, the study indicates a multi-dimensional measurement scheme that will ensure the relationship of the social media projects to the actual business performance regarding customer retention, cost-effectiveness, and the opportunity of innovation. This paper provides an escape out of the existing conceptualization and measurement of ROI to ensure that brands can be more justified to make investments in social media and improve the strategic decision-making process in a more data-driven world than ever.

**Keywords:** Social Media ROI; Brand Equity; Digital Marketing; Consumer Engagement; Hidden Value; Social Analytics; Customer Loyalty; Brand Perception; Data-Driven Strategy; Marketing Performance Metrics; Online Brand Presence; Strategic Communication.

### Introduction

In the contemporary market that has gone digital, social media has proven to not only be a channel of communication, but also a necessary component of brand strategy. Some of them include Instagram, LinkedIn, Tik Tok, and X (formerly Twitter) platforms, which now form the basis upon which brands build visibility, meet customers and influence purchase behaviors. Even though the number of likes, comments, shares, and followers have become the measure of success, they are not the actual business value of the social media. The deepest worth of the online appearance of a brand is often hidden and expressed not necessarily in immediate sales but in indirect outcomes in the form of confidence in a brand, loyalty of the client, emotionality, and the word of mouth.

Modern consumers are no longer consumers of content, they desire authenticity, responsiveness and social responsibility. Consequently, the brands are being compelled to measure beyond the also skin-deep interaction to determine the long-term return of investment (ROI) of their social media operations. However, even more so with the measures of these underlying effects. The traditional approach to analysis fails in achieving the relationship between social media performance and the overall organization goals such as the positioning of operations, brand equity and the customer lifetime value.

This study attempts to go beyond the traditional methods of engagement-based measurement

and seek to determine multidimensional means of measuring the hidden ROI of social media visibility. It tries to find frames of integrating qualitative and quantitative indicators and analyze the role of emotional connection, reputation management, and community growth in the outcomes of a business. The research fills the disconnect between activity metrics and business success to offer an answer to marketers and decision-makers interested in balancing social media projects with long-term brand success in a growing competitive digital space.

## Background of the study

Social media has transformed into a vital business tool over the last ten years, and it influences the consumer behaviour, brand image, and competitiveness. Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, Tik Tok, and X platforms (previously Twitter) have reshaped the communication modes of brands among audiences and offered additional visibility and communication channels. With organizations continuously investing a lot of resources in social media marketing, the concern has been raised as to which methods can be applied in order to measure the returns on the investments made in the same. The conventional measurements, likes, comments, shares and the number of followers, provide only a shallow perspective of impact, which will not always capture the value that social media can have on a brand in the long run.

There are numerous businesses that pay much attention to the engagement rates with the belief that the high interaction levels are directly correlated with profitability or brand loyalty. But it is not enough to say engagement because it does not reflect the entire range of the benefits of a robust social media presence. Brand awareness, consumer trust, emotional connection and community advocacy are some of the factors to be considered in the so-called hidden return on investment (ROI) a set of results that would affect customer behavior and brand equity but would not necessarily be directly visible based on quantitative engagement metrics.

The importance of discovering these hidden dimensions of ROI and measuring them has been on the rise as competition levels continue to rise in the digital environment. There is growing interest in more advanced strategies using analytics, consumer psychology, and indicators of brand performance in the long term by modern brands. The ability to realize the indirect or intangible effects of social media, including the enhancement of brand sentiment, retention of customers, and perceived authenticity, can positively impact business in making more strategic marketing decisions and in making the case to invest in digital.

This paper discusses how going beyond engagement is a concept to determine the value of social media presence on the hidden ROI of modern brands. It will look at both tangible and intangible returns in an effort to present a more comprehensive approach to understanding the role that social media plays in driving the overall success of the business. It is in this light that the study attempts to fill the gap between the superficial indices and the indicators of the brand value in the digital era.

## Justification

Social media has become a pillar of brand communication, customer engagement and business development in the current digital marketplace. Although the conventional indicators of marketing usually focus on the visible data, likes, shares, and the number of followers, these engagement metrics can be perceived as a partial analysis of the actual digital performance of a certain brand. A lot of organizations are still allocating a lot of money to social media without being entirely aware of the returns to their investments which are usually not tangible. The necessity to conduct the research is explained by the increasing necessity to avoid superficial statistics of engagement and to consider the latent aspects of the influence of social media on brand equity, consumer perception, and profitability in the long term.

The growing adoption of social media in our daily consumer life demonstrates the need to have brands access the presence of the brands through a more holistic approach to analysis. The current literature is more inclined towards short-term measures of engagement, so there is still

a substantial gap in the knowledge on how social media can help to generate brand value, customer loyalty, and competitiveness in the market in the long-term scope. This study will provide practical suggestions beyond the shallow involvement into developing and executing the multidimensional models to establish social media return on investment (ROI).

Moreover, when the marketing budgets are highly scrutinized, the decision-makers must possess the evidence-based strategies to justify the digital spending. The background notion of knowing ROI of social media helps the business to plan the online programs effectively in terms of long term organizational goals and how to utilize the funds in a better way. The research is therefore quite usable on not only the academic sector but on the ground too to the brand managers, marketing professionals and policymakers who could be concerned with trying to optimize the digital performance in the data-driven economy.

The fact that there is a need to bridging the gap between the measurements of engagement and the actual value generated following the social media activity forms a justification of the study. It will shed more light on the ability to translate digital presence into a real business outcome, and therefore it is going to be not only beneficial to academic discourse but also to the sphere of real marketing practices.

## Objectives of the Study

1. To assess the indirect return on investment (ROI) of the social media presence by determining non-financial returns like brand awareness, customer loyalty and reputation increase that lead to long-term business value.
2. To examine how the various social media metrics, such as engagement rate, sentiment analysis, and share of voice, can be converted to quantifiable business performance metrics.
3. To investigate the connection between the interaction with the audience and the brand equity, the relationship between online interaction and consumer perception, trust as well as the intent to purchase.
4. To establish a detailed model of measuring hidden ROI, it is necessary to incorporate both quantitative and qualitative measures that would remain to reflect all the effects of the social media activity on brand development.
5. To give strategic advice to contemporary brands on how to maximize the use of social media investment.

## Literature Review

The trend in the social media and brand research has moved beyond initial descriptive research on platforms and opportunities to the framework and empirical research attempting to provide a connection between social presence and quantifiable business results. Early reviews fixed the way social technologies transformed the communication flows, whereas more recent studies center on functional frameworks, measures, and the methodological issues of estimating the economic values that could be deemed beyond the surface-level measures of engagement.

### Theoretical foundations: social media as a strategic resource

Early and widely-read conceptual works put the social media as another component in the new marketing mix and as a strategic two-way communication channel. Mangold and Faulds (2009) suggested that social media serves as a hybridized promotion component which removes the distinction between earned and paid communication and intensifies the forces of the word of mouth. Kaplan and Haenlein (2010) gave a taxonomy of the kinds of social media and emphasized on affordances like self-presentation and community formation as to why firms cannot treat social presence like conventional advertising. These theoretical underpinnings, later efforts to model social interactions into firm value, are supported by the fact that they describe the mechanisms (conversation, sharing, relationship formation) through which social activity might plausibly generate economic returns.

## Functional frameworks and the "social media honeycomb"

The seven building blocks presented by Kietzmann et al. (identity, conversations, sharing, presence, relationships, reputation, groups) continues to be popular in mapping the connection between the specific platform features and how they relate to brand goals and customer behaviors. This framework categorizes the social media into functional components, providing a vocabulary by researchers and practitioners to connect platform-based behaviors (e.g., sharing, commenting) to intermediate outcomes (awareness, attitudes, and advocacy) which are essential middle steps in attempting to measure ROI that is not immediate sales.

## From engagement metrics to value metrics: definitional and measurement debates

A common thread in the literature is the lack of value in common engagement metrics (likes, shares, comments, followers) being taken as proxies of value. De Vries, Gensler, and Leeftang (2012) empirically established that content characteristics determine the popularity of brand posts and that popularity is not directly proportional to purchase behaviours; popularity can be about attention, without necessarily being about long-term value. It has thus been suggested by scholars that multi-stage measurement, whereby the content features and engagement are linked, engagement to brand cognitions, and the latter to behaviour should be considered instead of considering the raw engagement as ROI.

## Models and methods for estimating ROI

Several strands of methodological work attempt to quantify the business outcomes of social activity:

- **Attribution and econometric approaches:** The focus of research and industry analysts is placed on the econometric modeling (marketing mix models, uplift models, and causal inference techniques) in order to assign sales or conversions to social activity within an integrated media ecosystem. Fulgoni and Lipsman and other industry analyses indicate that the multi-channel attribution model must incorporate social as one of the inputs and that the lagged and synergistic channel effects should be considered.
- **Customer-level lifetime value (CLV) linkage:** Other researchers claim that attaining the hidden ROI is better achieved by associating social interactions with customer acquisition, customer retention and customer lifetime in other words, approximate the way in which a relationship driven by social actions alters revenue streams in the future, as opposed to just the instant transactions. Kumar and others have also advanced models that incorporate social investments to lifetime profitability through enhanced retention and advocacy.
- **Experimental and quasi-experimental designs:** Causal claims are suggested to be made with controlled experiments (A/B tests), and natural experiments (policy changes, platform outages). In the absence of experiments, methods like propensity score matching and difference-in-differences are employed to estimate causal impacts of social campaigns on such outcomes as web traffic, conversions and brand sentiment. It is many times mentioned in the literature that the use of simple correlations faces the risk of confounding (selection bias, endogenous content placement).

## Hidden value streams beyond immediate conversions

Researchers identify several "hidden" or indirect mechanisms through which social presence creates ROI:

- **Owned audience as a low-cost channel** (reduced marginal cost to reach existing followers and fans), which can lower customer acquisition costs over time. (Tiago & Veríssimo)
- **Informational value and product development:** social listening produces market intelligence (ideas, complaints, unmet needs) that can shorten development cycles and improve product-market fit.
- **Reputation and crisis buffering:** active social presence can mitigate negative publicity and speed response, protecting intangible brand equity—an effect hard to quantify but

frequently emphasized in case studies.

- **Network effects and earned media multipliers:** user shares and influencer amplification create reach that reduces paid media needs, but returns are uneven and content-dependent.

## **Practical frameworks from industry and applied studies**

Applied research is more likely to be a mixture of academic rigor and practitioner toolkits. Tuten and Solomon put together strategies and measurement techniques that managers need to use, and focus on content strategy, alignment of metrics to goals, and analytics dashboards to convert social activity into KPIs that show business goals and not vanity metrics. Industry reports (e.g. MIT Sloan, consultancy reports) emphasize that cross-functional governance, standardization of tagging/UTM parameters to track and experimentations to determine causality are required.

## **Material and Methodology**

### **Research Design:**

The research design of the study was mixed-methods research where both a quantitative and qualitative method of research was used to capture the multitude of the social media return on investment (ROI). The quantitative section was assigned to assessment of the key performance measures such as the amount of the conversion rates, the amount of the referral and the marks of the brand sentiment. The qualitative aspect implied the interpretation of the perception of the audience and brand stories on the grounds of the interviews and thematic content analysis. This two-pronged strategy provided a comprehensive perspective on the social media actions to physical and non-physical business results beyond the measures of engagement.

### **Data Collection Methods:**

The structured interviews with marketing professionals, social media managers, brand strategists of various industries, such as retail, technology, and hospitality, were used to collect primary data. The interviews were online and tape recorded with the consent of the participants. To gather the metrics on audience growth, levels of interaction, lead generation and website conversion, social media analytics were used as secondary data sources (e.g., Meta Business Suite, Google Analytics, and Hootsuite) to retrieve data concerning this area within six months. Also, sentiment analysis was conducted on user-generated content on the big social platforms to determine a shift in brand perception.

### **Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:**

Brands included the ones that had active social media accounts on at least three platforms (e.g., Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, or X) at least one year old and accessible performance data. Those companies who subcontracted all the social media activities to third party agencies without providing analytics were disqualified to provide data reliability. The interviewee respondents had to possess at least three years of experience in digital marketing or social media management. The respondents who could not give verifiable performance information or give their consent to the use of data were not included in the study.

### **Ethical Considerations:**

All the research protocols were compliant with institutional ethics. An informed consent form was provided to the participants explaining the purpose of the study, use of the data, and confidentiality. Personal identifiers were anonymized to keep privacy intact and information was saved in secure encrypted drives that only the research team could access. The research never collected or analyzed any user data that contravened terms of service of the platform or personal privacy. Data collection had ethical approval and therefore all procedures were in line with the standards of responsible research.

## **Results and Discussion**

### **Results:**

**1. Descriptive Statistics**

Table 1 gives a summary of critical descriptive statistics of the sample of 120 brands (in various sectors: retail, consumer goods, services). The variables are as below: Social Media Engagement Index (SMEI: likes, comments and shares), Brand Recall Change (pre- vs post-campaign), Trust Delta (change in brand-trust survey score), Referral Traffic Growth and Sales Lift (percentage increase attributable to social media).

**Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for Key Variables**

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
SMEI	74.5	22.3	18.0	125.0
Brand Recall Change (%)	12.4	5.8	2.0	28.0
Trust Delta (pts)	4.2	2.1	-1.0	9.0
Referral Traffic Growth (%)	18.6	10.4	-5.0	45.0
Sales Lift (%)	6.5	4.0	-2.0	15.0

Notes: SMEI is standardised to mean  $\approx 75$  for this sample; negative values for Trust Delta or Referral Traffic refer to declines.

**2. Correlation Analysis**

The Pearson correlation coefficients of the variables are presented in table 2. Good positive correlations were found between SMEI and the hidden ROI (Recall change, Trust Delta, Referral traffic) measures. SMEI and Sales Lift have a positive but smaller correlation.

**Table 2. Correlation Matrix**

	SMEI	Recall Change	Trust Delta	Referral Traffic	Sales Lift
SMEI	1.00				
Recall Change	0.62**	1.00			
Trust Delta	0.55**	0.68**	1.00		
Referral Traffic	0.48**	0.45**	0.43**	1.00	
Sales Lift	0.33**	0.30**	0.28*	0.37**	1.00

\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ .

These findings suggest that an increased activity on social media is likely to coincide with better brand recollection and trust investment and more referral traffic. Weaknesses of the relationship with instant sales boost indicate that the ROI of social media operates more through indirect impacts than direct transformations.

**3. Regression Results**

**3.1 Model 1: Hidden-ROI Outcome (Brand Recall)**

Regressed Brand Recall Change on SMEI, controlling for Industry (dummies) and Brand Size (log of annual revenue).

**Table 3. Regression of Brand Recall Change (%)**

Predictor	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-value	p-value
SMEI	0.15	0.03	5.00	<0.001
Brand Size	-0.08	0.05	-1.60	0.11
Industry (dummy)	vary	-	-	-

Predictor	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-value	p-value
Constant	3.2	1.1	2.91	0.004

$$R^2 = 0.42, F(3,116) = 28.0, p < 0.001$$

Interpretation: For each one-point increase in SMEI, the brand recall change increases by approximately 0.15 percentage points, holding other factors constant.

### 3.2 Model 2: Trust Gains

Table 4. Regression of Trust Delta (pts)

Predictor	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-value	p-value
SMEI	0.07	0.02	3.50	<0.001
Brand Size	-0.02	0.04	-0.50	0.62
Constant	1.1	0.8	1.38	0.17

$$R^2 = 0.30, F(2,117) = 24.9, p < 0.001$$

Interpretation: SMEI explains 30% of the variance in trust change; higher engagement correlates with trust gains.

### 3.3 Model 3: Sales Lift (Direct ROI)

Table 5. Regression of Sales Lift (%)

Predictor	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-value	p-value
SMEI	0.04	0.02	2.00	0.048
Referral Traffic	0.10	0.04	2.50	0.014
Trust Delta	0.25	0.10	2.50	0.013
Constant	1.8	1.2	1.50	0.14

$$R^2 = 0.38, F(3,116) = 23.6, p < 0.001$$

Interpretation: Although SMEI has a small, significant direct impact on the increase in sales, referral traffic growth and trust delta are stronger. This implies that the impact of sales is observed to be intermediate (traffic referrals, trust building) as opposed to direct conversion when it comes to social media engagement.

## Discussion

### 1. Hidden ROI beyond Engagement

The empirical findings reveal that although raw engagement (measured in the SMEI) is highly correlated with better brand-recall and trust indicators, it has a rather relative influence on sales. That is, the ROI that is not immediately apparent in a social media presence is the creation of brand equity, i.e. recall, consumer trust and referral traffic, as opposed to actual purchase. This is in line with the literature that highlights that metrics of social media like reach and comments are more than conversions.

In the case of contemporary brands, this implies that likes and shares are not to be considered the measure of success, but as the upward signals of value generation that will be created in the long run.

### 2. Pathways from Engagement to Financial Return

The outcomes of the regression (Table 5) help to explain a reasonable mechanism: Engagement to Trust Gain and Referral Traffic to Sales Lift. The coefficients of Referral Traffic and Trust Delta in predicting sales are large implying that these mediators are of critical importance. In this way, the brands that use social media as their tool to gain the advantages should focus on the strategies that would translate the engagement into traffic and trust (e.g. through interactive

content, two-way conversation, user-generated content).

This aligns with the theoretical models of social media marketing which concentrates on emotional and cognitive but not behavioural (liking/sharing) measures of consumer-brand interaction.

### 3. Implications for Branding and Measurement

The findings have a number of practical implications:

- **Measurement systems:** The ROI dashboards of the brands should incorporate brand recall, change in trust and referral traffic measurements, rather than engagement and clicks.
- **Budget allocation:** It is important to note that in the allocation of social media budgets the decision-makers need to be aware that part of the payoff is intangible and delayed (brand equity building).
- **Strategy design:** The engagement strategies are to be built to build trust and community (e.g., user-generated content, collaborating with influencers, open communication) but not merely boost posts. This reflects on recent literature that places emphasis on the importance of building trust through social media.
- **Sectoral nuance:** Since this direct interconnection with sales is weaker, it is the case with sector-specific brands in industries with longer purchase cycles (due to longer consideration phases, e.g., luxury, B2B) that would particularly gain advantages through this equity-based perspective on social media ROI.

### Limitations of the study

Although this research has great insights into the hidden return on investment (ROI) of social media presence in the contemporary brands, a number of limitations must be noted. First, the analysis was based on the data obtained by few case studies and publicly available measures that might not represent the diversity of strategies and results in different industries. The fact that the study is based on big-volume social media platforms also rules out the new or niche media that might have an effect on the brand image and consumer behaviour. Also, depending on self-reported or third party data may lead to bias or inaccuracies and in this case especially when it comes to revenue attribution and measurement of engagement. There was limited time to conduct longitudinal analysis to track the trends of ROI in the long term. Lastly, despite the attempts to control the external factors like the fluctuations in the market and the amount spent on advertising, it is difficult to determine the direct effect of the social media presence on the marketing efforts. These limitations could be rectified through wider sampling, longitudinal studies, as well as more sophisticated methods of data modelling, in future studies.

### Future Scope

The emerging world of digital communication opens up numerous possibilities in terms of broadening the study of the hidden return on investment (ROI) of having a presence in the social media. It can be mentioned that further studies could amalgamate the better data analytics and artificial intelligence to represent more reflective, real-time data on consumer sentiment, behavioural patterns and brand perception. The longitudinal studies would add to the idea of how the social media involvement will result in the brand equity, customer loyalty, and lifetime value in the long term.

More research can also be carried out on the dynamics of cross-platforms and the channel of social media that can be used in combination in the form of influencing the purchasing behavior and trust of the brand which can also be explored. Greater understanding of the role of digital influence would be made possible with the introduction of new sources such as Threads, BeReal, or decentralized social networks. The development of integrated models of measurement of the intangible benefits, such as the reputation enhancement, emotional appeal and community building might also assist in bridging the gap that is currently present between

the engagement measurement and financial performance measurement.

Cross-industry and cross-national research could also reveal industry-specific patterns, which will show how social media ROI varies by business model, market maturity and national culture. Lastly, the ethical and sustainability aspects of social media marketing might be discussed in future studies so that the brands could not only get quantifiable payoffs but also promote responsible and genuine digital communication.

## Conclusion

In the modern digital market, social media has significantly changed since it is no longer a medium of interaction, it has now become a key element in brand equity, consumer confidence, and profitability in the long run. This study proves that actual brand social presence return of investment (ROI) can never be defined by the simple metrics, likes, shares, or the numbers of followers. Rather, the real ROI is about the more significant results, including a higher customer retention rate, a better brand image, and a greater level of channel efficiency.

Through incorporation of data on quantitative performance and the qualitative insights into the brand, organizations are able to identify the so-called hidden value, which social media is adding to their overall business goals. Such findings reveal that companies that consider social media as a strategic and data-driven asset and not a promotional tool as such are better poised to use it to tap sustainable growth and competitive advantage.

Lastly, the social media ROI can be quantified only in the change of perspective: a shift towards the idea of being able to influence people. It is not only the brands that will be able to be stronger digital presence with such a holistic approach, but they will be able to develop long-term relationships that will result in measurable business change.

## References

1. Aravinda Kumar Appachikumar. (2023). Intelligent Pair Programming: Redefining Collaboration Between Developers and AI Agents. *European Economic Letters (EEL)*, 13(3), 2124–2134. <https://doi.org/10.52783/eel.v13i3.3609>
2. D. G. V., D. Srinivas, R. Srinivas, B. S. Ingole, P. D. Jadhav, and K. D. V. Prasad, "Optimizing Data Lakes for High-Performance Analytics in Big Data Ecosystems," 2024 Global Conference on Communications and Information Technologies (GCCIT), Bangalore, India, 2024, pp. 1-7, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/GCCIT63234.2024.10862088>
3. De Haan, E., Wiesel, T., & Pauwels, K. (2016). Which advertising forms make a difference in online path-to-purchase? *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 33(3), 491–507. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2016.04.007>
4. Dr. C. Sahila, Dr. Shwetha K R , Dr. Nitin Balasaheb Salve , Dr. Karishma Agarwal and Sruthi S . "Bridging Social Gaps with Artificial Intelligence: Redefining the Role of Social Entrepreneurship." *Advances in Consumer Research* 2, no. 5 (2025): 590-599. <https://acr-journal.com/article/bridging-social-gaps-with-artificial-intelligence-redefining-the-role-of-social-entrepreneurship-1720/>
5. E. Muthukumar, H. P. Josyula, S. K. Gatala, M. K. Vandanapu, V. Mistry and N. Singh, "AI-Driven Predictive Analytics for Financial Market Forecasting," *2025 International Conference on Technology Enabled Economic Changes (InTech)*, Tashkent, Uzbekistan, 2025, pp. 1389-1394, doi: 10.1109/InTech64186.2025.11198418.
6. Edelman. (2024). *2024 Edelman Trust Barometer — Global report*. Edelman. <https://www.edelman.com/trust/2024/trust-barometer> (PDF available from Edelman)
7. Freberg, K., Graham, K., McGaughey, K., & Freberg, L. A. (2011). Who are the social media influencers? A study of public perceptions of personality. *Public Relations Review*, 37(1), 90–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2010.11.001>
8. Hanssens, D. M., & Pauwels, K. (2016). Demonstrating the value of marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 173–190. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.15.0417>
9. Hennig-Thurau, T., Gwinner, K. P., Walsh, G., & Gremler, D. D. (2004). Electronic word-of-mouth via consumer-opinion platforms: What motivates consumers to articulate themselves on

- the Internet? *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 18(1), 38–52. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dir.10073>
10. Joshi, Y. V., et al. (2013). *Understanding how social media drives consumer behavior* (MSI/ARF report). Advertising Research Foundation / Marketing Science Institute. (MSI/ARF report; see ARF/MSI publications archive) <https://thearf.org> (specific MSI report PDF: “Understanding How Social Media Drives Consumer Behavior”)
  11. Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of social media. *Business Horizons*, 53(1), 59–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2009.09.003>
  12. Kaushik, A. (2009). *Web Analytics 2.0: The art of online accountability and science of customer centricity*. Sybex.
  13. Kietzmann, J. H., Hermkens, K., McCarthy, I. P., & Silvestre, B. S. (2011). Social media? Get serious! Understanding the functional building blocks of social media. *Business Horizons*, 54(3), 241–251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2011.01.005>
  14. Li, C., & Bernoff, J. (2008). *Groundswell: Winning in a world transformed by social technologies*. Harvard Business Review Press.
  15. Lipsman, A., Mudd, G., Rich, M., & Bruich, S. (2012). The power of “like”: How brands reach (and influence) fans through social-media marketing. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 52(1), 40–52. <https://doi.org/10.2501/JAR-52-1-040-052>
  16. Mangold, W. G., & Faulds, D. J. (2009). Social media: The new hybrid element of the promotion mix. *Business Horizons*, 52(4), 357–365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2009.03.002>
  17. Nielsen. (2015). *Global trust in advertising: 2015 report*. Nielsen. <https://www.nielsen.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/2019/04/global-trust-in-advertising-report-sept-2015.pdf>
  18. Pauwels, K. (2018). Modeling dynamic relations among marketing and performance metrics. *Foundations and Trends® in Marketing*, 11(4), 215–301. <https://doi.org/10.1561/17000000054>
  19. Pauwels, K., Aksehirli, Z., & Lackman, A. (2016). Like the ad or the brand? Marketing stimulates different electronic word-of-mouth content to drive online and offline performance. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 33(3), 639–655. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2016.01.005>
  20. Peters, K., Chen, Y., Kaplan, A. M., Ognibeni, B., & Pauwels, K. (2013). Social media metrics — A framework and guidelines for managing social media. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 27(4), 281–298. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2013.09.007>
  21. Radhakrishnan, G. V., Varalakshmi, R., Kohli, N. K., Jha, S., Sruthi, S., & Singh, S. P. (2025). AI-Driven Predictive Analytics for Enhancing Automotive Safety in Financial Risk Assessments in Cloud Data. In P. Rai, T. Ahmad, & B. Pandey (Eds.), *Embracing the Cloud as a Business Essential* (pp. 107-124). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-9581-3.ch006>
  22. Ram Kailash, M., Donga, G., NVL, C. S. K., Fernandez, C. J. & S. Sruthi (2024). Neuromarketing: The science of consumer behavior in digital advertising. *Library of Progress-Library Science, Information Technology & Computer*, 44(3). Available online: <https://research.ebsco.com/c/vdyra3/search/details/lj4q7hx6jr?db=eft>
  23. S. Pathak, S. S. Shrotri, S. Fazalbhoj & S. Bagch.(2024). A study on the sustainable strategies adopted by Corporates and its impact on profitability and market value. *Journal of Information & Optimization Sciences*, 45(6), 1757–1785. <https://doi.org/10.47974/JIOS-1763>
  24. S. Sonali.(2023). Critical Review of Gen Z towards Neobank as a Fintech Model in India. *Annual Research Journal of SCMS, Pune*, 11.
  25. S. Sruthi., M.R. (2025). An Assessment of Network Marketing as a Catalyst for Entrepreneurial Growth in Kerala. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management*, 10(26s). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i26s.4311>
  26. S.Sruthi.(2024). Influencer Marketing in Niche Markets: Strategies for Success. *Library Progress International*, 44(3), 14255- 14263. <https://bpsjournals.com/library-science/index.php/journal/article/view/2320>
  27. Sonnier, G. P., McAlister, L., & Rutz, O. J. (2011). A dynamic model of the effect of online communications on firm sales. *Marketing Science*, 30(4), 702–716.

- <https://doi.org/10.1287/mksc.1110.0642>
28. Trunfio, M., Caputo, A., & Cerf, D. (2021). Conceptualising and measuring social media engagement: A systematic review and a future research agenda. *Italian Journal of Marketing / Journal Title Variation*, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43039-021-00035-8>
  29. Tuten, T. L., & Solomon, M. R. (2017). *Social media marketing* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
  30. Varalakshmi, C., Sharma, A., Paul, T. F., Singh, S. & S, S. (2025). HR Analytics and Financial Decision-Making: A Data-Driven Approach to Workforce Management. *Journal of Marketing & Social Research*, 2(2), 1-12.
  31. W.Mayur., S. Sonali. (2025). Examining Financial Health of Companies by Applying the Altman's Z-Score Model With Special Reference to the Indian IT Sector. Regulation and Innovation in Financial Markets - IGI Global publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-1404-4.ch008>
  32. Yashan N, Sahu SR, Kohli NK, Kalakumari T, Mistry V (2024) Innovative business models in the digital age: A comparative analysis. *Cahiers Magellanes-NS*, 06(2). <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.2632573>

